| 1 2 3 4 5 | Patrice L. Bishop (SBN 182256) Timothy J. Burke (SBN 181866) <u>service@ssbla.com</u> STULL, STULL & BRODY 10940 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 2300 Los Angeles, CA 90024 Tel: (310) 209-2468 Fax: (310) 209-2087 | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|--|--|
| 6 7 8 9 | Howard T. Longman <u>tsvi@ssbla.com</u> STULL, STULL & BRODY 6 East 45th Street New York, NY 10017 Tel: (212) 687-7230 Fax: (212) 490-2022 | | | |
| 10 | [Additional Counsel Appear on Signature Page] | | | |
| 11 | Counsel for Plaintiff Mindy Pagsolingan | | | |
| 12 13 | | | | |
| 14 | UNITED STATE | ES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 15 | | TRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | MINDY N. PAGSOLINGAN, on Behalf of) Herself and All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff, v. AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY and AMERICAN EXPRESS CENTURION BANK, Defendants. | | | |
| | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONT CASE NO. CV09-5039 CRB Z:\STULL\AMEX\PLD\Stip to Contue Initial Case Management (| Conference.wpd | | |

| 1 | Plaintiff Mindy N. Pagsolingan ("Plaintiff"), on Behalf of Herself and All Others Similarly |
|----|---|
| 2 | Situated (the purported "Class"), and defendants American Express Company and American |
| 3 | Express Centurion Bank ("Defendants") hereby stipulate as follows: |
| 4 | WHEREAS, on October 22, 2009, Plaintiff filed her Complaint alleging, inter alia, that |
| 5 | Defendants violated California Civil Code §1750, et seq., California Business & Professions Code |
| 6 | §17200, et seq., California Business & Professions Code §17500, et seq., and breached their |
| 7 | covenant of good faith and fair dealing owed to Plaintiff and the purported Class; |
| 8 | WHEREAS, this Court set an Initial Case Management Conference for February 5, 2010; |
| 9 | WHEREAS, on October 28, 2009, Plaintiff caused her Complaint to be hand-served on |
| 10 | Defendants' Agent for Service of Process; |
| 11 | WHEREAS, on November 12, 2009, Plaintiff and Defendants entered into a Stipulation |
| 12 | Extending Time to Respond to Complaint providing Defendants until and including December 16, |
| 13 | 2009, to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint; |
| 14 | WHEREAS, on November 17, 2009, this Court issued its Order granting the parties' |
| 15 | Stipulation and provided Defendants until and including December 16, 2009, to answer or |
| 16 | otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint; |
| 17 | WHEREAS, on December 16, 2009, Defendants' filed their Motion to Compel Arbitration |
| 18 | and to Stay Action Pending Arbitration (the "Motion"), noticing the Motion for hearing on February |
| 19 | 5, 2010; |
| 20 | WHEREAS, in consideration of Defendants' Motion and in conversations with counsel for |
| 21 | Defendants, Plaintiff believes it is appropriate to file an amended complaint in this action; |
| 22 | WHEREAS, the parties agreed that it would be wasteful for Plaintiff to respond to the |
| 23 | Motion directed to the initial complaint and on February 13, 2010, submitted to this Court a |
| 24 | Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Take Off-Calendar Defendants' Motion [] to Allow Plaintiff to |
| 25 | file and Amended Complaint [see Docket No. 11]; |
| 26 | WHEREAS, pursuant to the parties' stipulation, on January 15, 2010, this Court issued an |
| 27 | Order taking Defendants' Motion off-calendar and allowing Plaintiff to file her amended complaint |
| 28 | on or before February 16, 2010 [see Docket No. 12]; |
| | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE CASE NO. CV09-5039 CRB 1 Z:\STULL\AMEX\PLD\Stip to Contue Initial Case Management Conference.wpd |

| 1 | WHEREAS, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ | . P. 12, Defendants' response to the amended complaint |
|----------|---|--|
| 2 | must be filed on or before March 9, 2010; | |
| 3 | WHEREAS, the parties agree that it | would be judicially efficient for Defendants to have an |
| 4 | opportunity to review the Amended Compla | int prior to this Court conducting the Initial Case |
| 5 | Management Conference; | |
| 6 | NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREB | Y STIPULATED AND AGREED: |
| 7 | That, if the Court is amenable, the Initial Case Management Conference should be | |
| 8 | continued for 49 days until March 26 | 5, 2010, at 8:30 a.m., or a later date as ordered |
| 9 | by the Court. | |
| 10 | IT IS SO STIPULATED. | |
| 11 | Dated: February 2, 2010 | STULL, STULL & BRODY |
| 12 | Dated. Teordary 2, 2010 | Patrice L. Bishop Timothy J. Burke |
| 13 | | Thiotily J. Durke |
| 14 | By: | s/Patrice L. Bishop |
| 15 | | Patrice L. Bishop 10940 Wilshire Boulevard |
| 16 | | Suite 2300 Los Angeles, CA 90024 |
| 17 | | Tel: (310) 209-2468 Fax: (310) 209-2087 |
| 18 | | Howard T. Longman |
| 19 | | tsvi@ssbla.com STULL, STULL & BRODY |
| 20 | | 6 East 45th Street New York, NY 10017 |
| 21 | | Tel: (212) 687-7230 Fax: (212) 490-2022 |
| 22 | | Gary S. Graifman |
| 23 24 | | ggraifman@kgglaw.com Michael L. Braunstein |
| 24 25 | | <u>mbraunstein@kgglaw.com</u> KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMER & GRAIFMAN, P.C. |
| 23 26 | | 747 Chestnut Ridge Road |
| 20 27 | | Chestnut Ridge, NY 10977 Tel: (201) 391-7000 Fax: (201) 307-1088 |
| 27 | | Iun. (201) 507 1000 |
| 20 | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CO CASE NO. CV09-5039 CRB Z:\STULL\AMEX\PLD\Stip to Contue Initial Case Manageme | NTINUING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE |

| 1 | | Abraham Rappaport THE LAW OFFICE OF ABRAHAM |
|--------|--|--|
| 2 | | RAPPAPORT, P.A. 3774 N.W. 3rd Avenue |
| 3 4 | | Boca Raton, FL 33431 Tel: (561) 368-9252 Fax: (561) 447-9480 |
| 5 | | Counsel for Plaintiff Mindy Pagsolingan |
| 6 | | e ounser for Frankrik Frankrik Fragooningun |
| 7 | Dated: February 2, 2010 | GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP |
| 8 | | William J. Goines Cindy Hamilton |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | By: | s/William J. Goines |
| 11 | | William J. Goines 1900 University Avenue |
| 12 | | Fifth Floor East Palo Alto, CA 94303 |
| 13 | | Tel: (650) 328-8500 Fax: (650) 328-8508 |
| 14 | | Counsel for Defendants American |
| 15 | | Express Company and American Express Centurion Bank |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | I, Patrice L. Bishop, am the ECF Us | ser whose ID and password are being used to file this |
| 18 | Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Continuir | ng Case Management Conference. In compliance with |
| 19 | General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that | William J. Goines has concurred in this filing. |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | By: | <u>s/Patrice L. Bishop</u> Patrice L. Bishop |
| 22 | | Tuitee E. Dishop |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | /// | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | /// | |
| | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CO CASE NO. CV09-5039 CRB Z:\STULL\AMEX\PLD\Stip to Contue Initial Case Managem | ONTINUING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE 3 nent Conference.wpd |

| 1 | [PROPOSED] ORDER | |
|----------|---|--|
| 2 | Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY | |
| 3 | ORDERED THAT: | |
| 4 | The Initial Case Management Conference is continued from February 5, 2010, until | |
| 5 | March 26, 2010, at 8:30 a.m. | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 8 | ATES DISTRICT | |
| 9 | Dated: <u>February 4, 2010</u> | |
| 10 | Slidge of the Charles R Bar Slidge of the Court IT IS SO ORDERED stated Court | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | Z Judge Charles R. Breyer | |
| 13 | Judge Char | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | TERN DISTRICT OF CT | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 26 | | |
| 26 27 | | |
| 27 28 | | |
| 20 | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE CASE NO. CV09-5039 CRB 4 Z:\STULL\AMEX\PLD\Stip to Contue Initial Case Management Conference.wpd | |