1 2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 AMADOR SERRANO. 6 Petitioner. No. C 09-5102 CRB (PR) 7 ORDER OF DISMISSAL v. 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 9 Respondent. 10 11 12 On November 5, 2009, the court notified petitioner in writing that his action 13 for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 was deficient because he did 14 not pay the requisite \$5.00 filing fee or, instead, submit a signed and completed 15 court-approved in forma pauperis application, including a completed certificate of funds in the prisoner's account and a copy of the prisoner's trust account statement 16 for the last six months. Petitioner was advised that failure to file the requested 17 18 items within 30 days would result in dismissal of the action. 19 More than 40 days have elapsed; however, petitioner still has not filed the 20 requisite items or sought an extension of time to do so. The action is DISMISSED 21 without prejudice to filing a new habeas action accompanied by the requisite \$5.00 22 filing fee or a signed and completed in forma pauperis application. 23 The clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this order, terminate all 24 pending motions as moot and close the file. 25 SO ORDERED. 26 DATED: Dec. 16, 2009 CHARLES R. BREYER 27 United States District Judge 28