

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

J&J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

No. C 09-05118 WHA

v.

ESMERALDA ARRIZON, *et al.*,

Defendants.


**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL  
NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT**

Counsel's request that judicial notice be taken of the parties' settlement is **DENIED**. A settlement agreement is not appended to the submission, so the Court has not been "supplied with the necessary information" to take judicial notice. FRE 201(d). Moreover, a settlement is not an adjudicative fact in this case. FRE 201(a). In fact, it seems from plaintiff's submission that the settlement documents have not even been executed yet.

The Court acknowledges and thanks plaintiff's counsel for their notice of settlement, but cautions that all deadlines and dates remain in effect until a dismissal is filed.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: April 20, 2011.



\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE