REED SMITH LLP A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

1	Jayne E. Fleming (SBN 209026)				
2	James M. Wood (SBN 58679) Mary Oppedahl (SBN 111119)				
3	Ray Cardozo (SBN 173263) Amy Lifson-Leu (SBN 260062)				
4	Katie B. Annand (SBN 260343) REED SMITH LLP				
5	101 Second Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94105-3659				
6	Telephone: +1 415 543 8700 Facsimile: +1 415 391 8269				
7	Barry Thompson (SBN 150349)				
8	REED SMITH LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2900				
9	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: +1 213 457 8000				
10	Facsimile: +1 213 457 8080				
11	Attorneys for DORA BAIRES, individually, and on behalf the estate of JUAN CARLOS				
12	BAIRES; and Teofilo MIRANDA, an individual.				
13					
14	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT			
15	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA			
16	DORA BAIRES, et al.,	No.: C 09-05171 CRB			
17	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND TROPOSED ORDER TO ALLOW PLAINTIFFS TO			
18	VS.	FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT			
19	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; et al.,				
20	Defendants.				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		1 - US_ACTIVE-104526507.3			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO ALLOW PLAINTIFFS TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT				

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

Plaintiffs Dora Baires and Teofilo Miranda ("Plaintiffs"); Defendants United States of America, Janet Napolitano, John P. Torres, James T. Hayes, Nancy Alcantar, Jeffrey Sherman, Jose Rodriguez, and Timothy Shack (collectively "Federal Defendants"); and Defendants the County of Kern, Kern County Sheriff's Department, Kern Medical Center, Lerdo Detention Facility, Donald Youngblood, and Khosrow Mostofi, M.D., ("Kern County Defendants") ("Federal Defendants" and "Kern County Defendants" collectively herein "Defendants"), through their respective counsel of record, hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1. Whereas, Plaintiffs filed their original complaint in this Action on October 30, 2009.

2. Whereas, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint in April 1, 2010 ("FAC").

3. Whereas, on June 11, 2010, the Federal Defendants filed the Individual Federal Defendants' Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment.

4. Whereas, on June 12, 2010, the Federal Defendants filed the United States' Amended Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment ("Individual Federal Defendants' Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment" and "Amended Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment" collectively herein "Motions to Dismiss").

5. Whereas, on September 8, 2010, the Court issued an Order granting the Motions to 20 Dismiss and dismissing Plaintiffs' First Claim for Relief for Deliberate Indifference to Medical 21 Needs, Second Claim for Relief for Deliberate Indifference to Medical Needs, Fifth Claim for 22 23 Relief - Bivens Claim for Equal Protection Violations, Sixth Claim for Relief - Bivens Claim for Equal Protection Violations, Seventh Claim for Relief - Violation of the Americans with 24 Disabilities Act and Injunctive Relief, Seventeenth Claim for Relief, Federal Tort Claims Act 25 Claim for Negligence, Eighteenth Claim for Relief, Federal Tort Claims Act Claim for Negligence, 26 Nineteenth Claim for Relief - Federal Tort Claims Act Claim for Medical Malpractice, Twentieth 27 28 Claim for Relief - Federal Tort Claims Act Claim for Medical Malpractice, Twenty-First Claim for

-2-

Relief - Federal Tort Claims Act Claim for Negligent Establishment of Policy for Provision of Medical Care to Immigration Detainees, Twenty-Second Claim for Relief - Federal Tort Claims Act Claim for Negligent Establishment of Policy for Provision of Medical Care to Immigration Detainees, Twenty-Third Claim for Relief - Federal Tort Claims Act Claim for Negligent Application of Policy for Provision of Medical Care to Immigration Detainees, Twenty-Fourth Claim for Relief - Federal Tort Claims Act Claim for Negligent Application of Policy for Provision of Medical Care to Immigration Detainees, Twenty-Fifth Claim for Relief - Federal Tort Claims Act Claim for Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress, Twenty-Sixth Claim for Relief - Federal Tort Claims Act Claim for Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress, Twenty-Seventh Claim for Relief -Federal Tort Claims Act Claim for Negligent Training, Supervision, and/or Hiring, Twenty-Eighth Claim for Relief - Federal Tort Claims Act Claim for Negligent Training, Supervision, and/or Hiring claims against the Federal Defendants without prejudice. It is Plaintiffs' understanding that the Court did not dismiss Plaintiffs' Twenty-Ninth Claim for Relief - Claim for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Based on Violations of the Fifth and Eighth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

6. Whereas, Plaintiffs now seek to file their Second Amended Complaint to include additional allegations against the Federal Defendants.

7. Whereas, the Defendants do not oppose the filing of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint and are willing to stipulate to the filing of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint for the sake of judicial economy.

8. Plaintiffs and Defendants hereby stipulate, pursuant to Rule 15(a)(2), that Plaintiffs may amend their complaint and file their Second Amended Complaint by or before November 5, 2010, and that Defendants shall have thirty (30) days to respond to the Second Amended Complaint after filing, provided that no new Federal Defendants are named. If Plaintiffs name new Federal Defendants, the Federal Defendants shall have sixty (60) days to respond to the Second Amended Amended Complaint after filing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

US ACTIVE-104526507.3

- 3 -

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties through their	
2	respective counsel of record that:	
3	Plaintiffs may file a Second Amended Complaint by November 5, 2010. Defendants shall	
4	have thirty (30) days to respond to the Second Amended Complaint after filing, provided that no	
5	new Federal Defendants are named. If Plaintiffs name new Federal Defendants, the Federal	
6	Defendants shall have sixty (60) days to respond to the Second Amended Complaint after filing.	
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED	
8	DATED: September 20, 2010.	
9	REED SMITH LLP	
10	By/s/ Jayne E. Fleming	
11	Jayne E. Fleming Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
12		
13		
14	DATED: September 20, 2010. JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO	
15	By/s/ Abraham A. Simmons	
16	Abraham A. Simmons Assistant United States Attorney	
17	Attorneys for Federal Defendants	
18	DATED: September 20, 2010.	
19	THERESA A GOLDNER, COUNTY COUNSEL	
20		
21	By /s/ Marshall S. Fontes	
22	Marshall S. Fontes, Deputy County Counsel Attorneys for Kern County Defendants	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		_
	- 4 - US_ACTIVE-104526507 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO ALLOW PLAINTIFFS TO FILE SECOND AMENDED	.3

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, upon the consent of the parties pursuant to Rule 15(a)(2) of the
3	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that Plaintiffs shall file their Second Amended Complaint no later
4	than November 5, 2010.
5	DATED Sept. 21 , 2010.
6	
7	Contract IT IS SO ORDERED
8 9	
10	Z Judge Charles R. Breyer
11	
12	DISTRICT OF CR
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
20	5 US_ACTIVE-104526507.3