Harnden et al v. Avers et al

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TWEED; H. LIDDICOTE; V. LOPEZ,

Defendants.

IN THE UNITED	STATES DISTRICT COURT
EOD THE NODTHED	RN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
TOR THE NORTHER	IV DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
EFF S. HARNDEN,	No. C 09-5218 WHA (PR)
Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
v.	
GLOVER; ROBERT AYERS; W.E. MURRAY; A. SCRIBNER; CHUCK	

Plaintiff, a California state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this case civil rights case. The complaint in this matter is an exact copy of the plaintiff filed in prior case that is currently pending in this court, case number C 09-4956 WHA (PR). Duplicative or repetitious litigation of virtually identical causes of action is subject to dismissal under 28 U.S.C. 1915 as malicious. Bailey v. Johnson, 846 F.2d 1019, 1021 (5th Cir. 1988). "Dismissal of the duplicative lawsuit, more so than the issuance of a stay or the enjoinment of proceedings, promotes judicial economy and the 'comprehensive disposition of litigation.'" Adams v. California, 487 F.3d 684, 692-93, 694 (9th Cir. 2007) (citation omitted). Further, plaintiff has written on the top of the copy of the complaint filed herein "Original prison stole legal mail." This appears to indicate that plaintiff was simply sending in another copy of his complaint because he thought the prior copy had not arrived at the court, and that he did not intend for the instant complaint to

Doc. 3

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

be opened in a new case. As plaintiff's complaint is a copy of a prior complaint filed in an earlier case, this case is **DISMISSED** as duplicative. The clerk shall close the file. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: November <u>30</u>, 2009. WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

 $G: \label{lem:cross} WHA \cross{WHA} \crosss{WHA} \cross{WHA} \cross{WHA} \cross{WHA} \cross{WHA} \cross{WHA} \c$