1	ROBERT ZARCO, ESQ. (Fla. State Bar No. 502		
2	ALEJANDRO BRITO, ESQ. (Fla. State Bar No. 0098442) HIMANSHU M. PATEL, ESQ. (Fla. State Bar No. 0167233)		
3	ZARCO EINHORN SALKOWSKI & BRITO, P. (Admitted pro <i>hac vice</i>) 100 S.E. 2 nd Street, Suite 2700	A.	
4	Miami, Florida 33131 Telephone: (305) 374-5418		
5	Facsimile: (305) 374-5428 hpatel@zarcolaw.com		
6	ANGEL GOMEZ (State Bar No. 74476)		
7	ANDREW SOMMER (State Bar No. 192844)		
8	EPSTEIN BECKER & GREEN, P.C. One California Street, 26 th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-5427		
9	Telephone: 415.399.6036 Facsimile: 415.398.0955		
10	asommer@ebglaw.com		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs DEBORAH NORK, LEE ROBERTS and		
12	BAKER'S BOUQUET		
13			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
17			
18	DEBORAH NORK, LEE ROBERTS and BAKER'S BOUQUET,	No. CV 09-5336 CRB	
19	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH	
20	vs.	PREJUDICE, WITH RESPECT TO PLAINTIFF LEE ROBERTS	
21	DESIGNED COOKIES, INC.,	Honorable Charles R. Breyer	
22	Defendants.	,	
23			
24			
25 26			
27			
28			
	-1-		
		Stipulation To Dismiss Plaintiff Lee Ro	

Nork et al v. Designed Cookies, Inc.

Doc 66

1	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41, Plaintiffs, Deborah Nork, Lee Roberts,			
2	and Baker's Bouquet ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant Designed Cookies, Inc. ("DCI"), by and			
3	through their respective counsel, hereby agree to the dismissal with prejudice of plaintiff Lee			
4	Roberts and all of Lee Roberts' claims aga	Roberts and all of Lee Roberts' claims against DCI. Plaintiff Lee Roberts shall not seek to		
5	recover from DCI the attorneys' fees and costs Mr. Roberts incurred in this matter. DCI shall			
6	not seek to recover from Mr. Roberts the attorneys fees and costs DCI incurred in this matter.			
7	DCI shall not seek to recover from Deborah Nork or Baker's Bouquet the attorneys' fees or			
8	costs DCI incurred solely as a result of defending against Mr. Roberts' claim.			
9				
10		RCO EINHORN SALKOWSKI & BRITO, P.A.		
11	HIMANSHU M. PATEL, ESQ.			
12				
13	By:_	/s/ Himanshu M.Patel Himanshu M. Patel		
14		rimanshu W. Fater		
15		rneys for Plaintiffs BORAH NORK, LEE ROBERTS AND BAKER'S		
16		JQUET		
17	Dated: March 31, 2010 SON	INENSCUEIN NATU & DOSENTUAL LI D		
18		SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP JOEL D. SIEGEL, ESQ.		
19				
20	D _{vv} .	/s/ Isal D Cioral		
21	Бу	/s/ Joel D Siegel Joel D. Siegel		
22		rneys for Defendants		
23	DES	IGNED COOKIES, INC.		
24	ATTESTATION CLAUSE			
25	I attest under penalty of perjury that	I attest under penalty of perjury that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been		
26	obtained from its signatories and from my clients.			
27	Ву	/s/ Himanshu M.Patel		
28		Himanshu M. Patel		
	1.0	0		

<u>[PROPOSED] QRDER</u>

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41, it is hereby ordered that plaintiff Lee Roberts and all of Lee Roberts' claims against Designed Cookies Inc. ("DCI") are dismissed with prejudice. Plaintiff Lee Roberts shall not seek to recover from DCI the attorneys' fees and costs Mr. Roberts incurred in this matter. DCI shall not seek to recover from Mr. Roberts the attorneys fees and costs DCI incurred in this matter. DCI shall not seek to recover from Deborah Nork or Baker's Bouquet the attorneys fees or costs DCI incurred solely as a result of defending against Mr. Roberts' claim.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _April 5, 2010_

