1	ROBERT ZARCO, ESQ. (Fla. State Bar No. 502138) ALEJANDRO BRITO, ESQ. (Fla. State Bar No. 0098442)		
2	HIMANSHU M. PATEL, ESQ. (Fla. State Bar No. 0167233) ZARCO EINHORN SALKOWSKI & BRITO, P.A.		
3	(Admitted pro <i>hac vice</i>) 100 S.E. 2 nd Street, Suite 2700		
4	Miami, Florida 33131 Telephone: (305) 374-5418		
5	Facsimile: (305) 374-5428 hpatel@zarcolaw.com		
6	ANDREW SOMMER (State Bar No. 192844)		
7	EPSTEIN BECKER & GREEN, P.C. One California Street, 26 th Floor		
8	San Francisco, California 94111-5427 Telephone: 415.399.6036		
9	Facsimile: 415.398.0955 asommer@ebglaw.com		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
11	DEBORAH NORK and BAKER'S BOUQUET		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14 15			
15 16	DEBORAH NORK and BAKER'S BOUQUET,	No. CV 09-5336 CRB	
17	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT	
18	vs.	PREJUDICE BETWEEN PLAINTIFF DEBORAH NORK AND DEFENDANT	
19	DESIGNED COOKIES, INC.,	The Honorable Charles R. Breyer	
20	Defendant.		
21			
22			
23	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Proce	edure 41, Plaintiff, DEBORAH NORK ("Nork"),	
24	and Defendant, DESIGNED COOKIES, INC. ("DCI"), by and through their respective counsel,		
25	hereby agree to the dismissal without prejudice of their respective claims against each other as		
26	set forth in Plaintiffs' Complaint (D.E. #1) and DCI's Answer and Counterclaim (D.E. #81).		
27	Both parties agree to bear their own attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this matter. In		
28	Case No. CV 09-5336 CRB -1-	- Stipulation To Dismiss Without Prejudice	

1	addition, DCI agrees not to seek to recover from Plaintiff Baker's Bouquet the attorneys' fees or		
2	costs DCI incurred solely as a result of defending against Deborah Nork's claims.		
3			
4	Dated: June 23, 2010	ZARCO EINHORN SALKOWSKI & BRITO, P.A.	
5			
6		By: <u>/s/ Himanshu M.Patel</u>	
7		Himanshu M. Patel Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8	Dated: June 23, 2010	LOVE & ASSOCIATES	
9		KRISTEN L. WILLIAMS, ESQ.	
10			
11		By: <u>/s/ Kristen L. Williams</u>	
12	Kristen L. Williams Attorneys for Defendant		
13		•	
14	ATTESTATION CLAUSE		
1.7			
15		perjury that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been	
16	I attest under penalty of obtained from its signatories a		
16 17		nd from my clients.	
16 17 18			
16 17 18 19		nd from my clients. By: <u>/s/ Himanshu M.Patel</u>	
16 17 18 19 20		nd from my clients. By: <u>/s/ Himanshu M.Patel</u>	
16 17 18 19		nd from my clients. By: <u>/s/ Himanshu M.Patel</u>	
16 17 18 19 20 21		nd from my clients. By: <u>/s/ Himanshu M.Patel</u>	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		nd from my clients. By: <u>/s/ Himanshu M.Patel</u>	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 		nd from my clients. By: <u>/s/ Himanshu M.Patel</u>	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 		nd from my clients. By: <u>/s/ Himanshu M.Patel</u>	
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 		nd from my clients. By: <u>/s/ Himanshu M.Patel</u>	

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
2	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41, it is hereby		
3	ordered that Plaintiff Deborah Nork and Defendant Designed Cookies, Inc. hereby dismiss their		
4	respective claims against each other without prejudice as set forth in Plaintiffs' Complaint (D.E.		
5	#1) and DCI's Answer and Counterclaim (D.E. #81). Both parties agree to bear their own		
6	attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this matter. In addition, DCI shall not seek to recover from		
7	Plaintiff Baker's Bouquet the attorneys' fees or costs DCI incurred solely as a result of		
8	defending against Deborah Nork's claims.		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10	TATES DISTRICT C		
11	Dated:June 28, 2010		
12	HONORABLE CHUE BREVER UNITAD O ORDERED URT UDGE		
13			
14	Z Judge Charles R. Breyer		
15			
16	THERN DISTRICT OF CAN		
17	DISTRICT		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	Case No. CV 09-5336 CRB -3- Stipulation To Dismiss Without Prejudice		