David R. Shaub, Esq. (Calif. State Bar No. 032322) Lisbeth Bosshart Merrell, Esq. (Calif. State Bar No. 201822) Robert C. Matz, Esq. (Calif. State Bar No. 217822) Cassandra M. Lamb, Esq. (Calif. State Bar No. 270227) 1 SHAUB & WILLIAMS LLP 2 12121 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 205 Los Angeles, CA 90025 3 Telephone: 310-826-6678 Facsimile: 310-826-8042 E-mail: lawfirm@sw-law.com 5 David G. Rosenbaum (Admitted pro hac vice) ROSENBAUM & SILVERT, P.C. 6 1480 Techny Road Northbrook, Illinois 60062 7 Telephone: (847) 770-6000 Facsimile: (847) 770-6006 E-mail: drosenbaum@rosenbaumsilvert.com 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff Augme Technologies, Inc. 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 Case No.: 3:09-CV-05386-JCS AUGME TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a 13 Delaware corporation, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Plaintiff, ENLARGING TIME FOR OPPOSITION AND 14 VS. REPLY TO YAHOO, INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (L.R. 6.2) 15 YAHOO!, INC., a Delaware Corporation, 16 Honorable Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Spero Defendant. 17 18 19 Plaintiff Augme Technologies, Inc. ("Augme") and defendant Yahoo!, Inc. ("Yahoo!") 20 (collectively, the "Parties") through this Stipulation hereby jointly stipulate, pursuant to Local 21 Rule 6.2, to enlarge the time for the opposition and reply to Yahoo's motion for summary 22 judgment of non-infringement based on divided/joint infringement, subject to the terms of this 23 Stipulation. 24 WHEREAS, on November 12, 2010, Yahoo! filed its Motion for Summary Judgment of 25 Non-infringement Based on Divided/Joint Infringement ("Motion for Summary Judgment"); 26 27 28 **STIPULATION** 1

Dockets.Justia.com

WHEREAS, on November 22, 2010, Yahoo! filed its Administrative Motion to Extend the Case Management Schedule in This Case Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-2(D) and 7-11 ("Administrative Motion");

WHEREAS, on November 24, 2010, Augme filed its Opposition to Yahoo!'s Administrative Motion and Cross Motion to Extend the Hearing Date for Yahoo!'s Motion for Summary Judgment ("Cross Motion");

WHEREAS, Augme's Opposition to Yahoo's Motion for Summary Judgment is due forthwith and may have to be filed before the Court rules on Augme's Cross Motion;

WHEREAS, previously, the parties jointly requested two one-week extensions of the deadline for exchanging Prelminary Claim Constructions, and a three-week extension of the deadline for filing the Joint Claim Construction and Pre-Hearing Statement;

WHEREAS, this stipulation will have no effect upon the hearing date or the pending Administrative Motion or Cross Motion;

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby stipulate, through their undersigned counsel, subject to the Court's approval, that:

- 1. The deadline for the filing of Augme's Opposition to Yahoo!'s Motion for Summary Judgment shall be extended to December 1, 2010.
- 2. The deadline for the filing of Yahoo!'s Reply in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment shall be extended to December 10, 2010.

Dated: November 29, 2010 MORRISON & FOERS	TER LLP
1	
By: /s/ Daniel P. Muir	10
Daniel P. Muino	
4 Attorneys for De YAHOO! INC.	efendant
5	
Dated: November 29, 2010 SHAUB & WILLIAMS	LLP
7	
8 By: /s/ David R. Shau David R. Shau	b b
9 Attorneys for Pla	nintiff NOLOGIES, INC.
10 AUGME TECH.	NOLOGIES, INC.
11	
12 L.P. 205 25-804 25-8	
[PROPOSED] ORDER (23) 8002. 21. (24)	
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	RICT
Date: November 30 , 2010	
, 2010 Silver	
17 Z J _{udge Joseph}	C. Spero
18 United States M	. SPEKÔ Leistrate Judge
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

3

STIPULATION