

1 JORDAN ETH (BAR NO. 121617)
2 JUDSON E. LOBDELL (BAR NO. 146041)
3 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
4 425 Market Street
5 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
6 Telephone: (415) 268-7000
7 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

8 Attorneys for Defendants
9 SUNPOWER CORPORATION,
10 THOMAS H. WERNER, DENNIS V. ARRIOLA,
11 EMMANUEL T. HERNANDEZ, AND
12 MARTY T. NEESE

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16

17 HARRY W. PLICHTA, Individually and on
18 Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 SUNPOWER CORPORATION,
22 THOMAS H. WERNER, and DENNIS V.
23 ARRIOLA,

24 Defendants.

Case No.: CV-09-05473 CRB
CLASS ACTION

25 STEVEN PARRISH, Individually and on
26 Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

27 Plaintiff,

28 v.

SUNPOWER CORPORATION,
THOMAS H. WERNER, and DENNIS V.
ARRIOLA,

Defendants.

Case No.: CV-09-05520 CRB
CLASS ACTION

1 CHENGXIAO CAO, Individually and On
2 Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

3 Plaintiff,

4 v.

5 SUNPOWER CORPORATION,
6 PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP,
7 THOMAS H. WERNER, DENNIS V.
8 ARRIOLA, EMMANUEL T. HERNANDEZ,
and MARTY T. NEESE,

9 Defendants.

Case No.: CV-09-05488 BZ

CLASS ACTION

10 **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME**
11 **TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT**

12 WHEREAS, the above-captioned actions are securities class action lawsuits, governed by
13 the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, against SunPower Corporation (“SunPower”),
14 certain of its officers, and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (collectively “Defendants”);

15 WHEREAS, these three actions are related cases within the meaning of Northern District of
16 California Civil Local Rule 3-12;

17 WHEREAS, the parties expect the actions will be consolidated pursuant to Federal Rule of
18 Civil Procedure 42(a);

19 WHEREAS, the Court is expected to designate a Lead Plaintiff, who shall designate a law
20 firm or law firms to serve as Lead Plaintiff’s counsel pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 77aa(a)(3)(B)(v)
21 and/or 5 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)(B)(v);

22 WHEREAS, the designated Lead Plaintiff will file a Consolidated Complaint for the
23 consolidated action, which will become the operative complaint and shall supersede all complaints
24 previously filed in these actions;

25 WHEREAS, it would be duplicative and a waste of judicial resources for Defendants to
26 respond to the individual complaints previously filed in these actions before Lead Plaintiff files a
27 Consolidated Complaint.


28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

* *

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: DEC 22 2009



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE