

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH,
a non-profit corporation,

No. C 09-05496 WHA

Plaintiff,

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
AND VACATING HEARING**

v.

GOLDEN TECHNOLOGY COMPANY,
ARNOLD CARSTON, LARRY CARRILLO,
FRANCINE CLAYTON, and DOES 1-30,
inclusive,

Defendants.

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a first amended complaint was noticed for May 20, 2010.* Under the normal 35-day track, defendants' opposition should have been filed on or before April 29, 2010. It is now Friday, April 30, and no opposition has been filed. Defendants are hereby **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** by **NOON ON TUESDAY, MAY 4, 2010**, why plaintiff's motion should not be treated as unopposed and granted. Since this failure throws off the briefing schedule, the hearing on this motion is **VACATED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 30, 2010.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

* While plaintiff simultaneously filed a motion to shorten time, it was denied.