1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 0 10 0 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>			
3 4 5 6 7 8 19 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 111 12 12 13 14 15 16 vs. 17 18 19 101 112 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 vs. 17 18 19 101 102 113 114 115	1		
4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 CASE NO. CV 09-5535 EDL 12 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD, and DATEL 13 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD, and DATEL 14 DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT, INC., 15 DEVELOPMENT, INC., 16 vs. 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and Counterclaimant 19 Defendant and Counterclaimant 10 Defendant and Counterclaimant 12 Image: Counterclaimant 13 Defendant and Counterclaimant 14 Defendant and Counterclaimant 15 Defendant and Counterclaimant 16 vs. 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and Counterclaimant 19 Image: Counterclaimant 12 Image: Counterclaimant 13 Image: Counterclaimant 14 Image: Counterclaimant 15 Image: Counte	2		
5 6 7 1 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 1 12 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD. and DATEL 13 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD. and DATEL 14 Counterclaimant 15 DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT, INC., 16 vs. 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and Counterclaimant 19 Defendant and Counterclaimant 10 Defendant and Counterclaimant 11 Defendant and Counterclaimant 12 Image: Counterclaimant 13 Defendant and Counterclaimant 14 Defendant and Counterclaimant 15 Defendant and Counterclaimant 16 vs. 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and Counterclaimant 19 Image: Counterclaimant 12 Image: Counterclaimant 13 Defendant and Counterclaimant 14 Defendant and Counterclaimant 15 Image: Counterclaimant 16 Image: Counterclaimant 17 Image: Counterclaimant 18 Image: Coun	3		
6 7 7 1 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 1 12 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD. and DATEL 13 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD. and DATEL 14 Plaintiffs and Counterclaimant 15 Defendants 16 vs. 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and Counterclaimant 19 Conterclaimant 20 Image: Conterclaimant 21 Image: Conterclaimant 22 Image: Conterclaimant 23 Image: Conterclaimant 24 Image: Conterclaimant 25 Image: Conterclaimant 26 Image: Conterclaimant 27 Image: Conterclaimant 28 Image: Conterclaimant 29 Image: Conterclaimant 21 Image: Conterclaimant 22 Image: Conterclaimant 23 Image: Conterclaimant 24 Image: Conterclaimant	4		
7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 11 12 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD, and DATEL DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT, INC., CASE NO. CV 09-5535 EDL 14 Plaintiffs and Counterclaimant Defendants CASE NO. TWOROSOFT 16 vs. MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and Counterclaimant 19 Defendant and Counterclaimant 12 Image: Counterclaimant Counterclaimant 13 Defendant and Counterclaimant 14 Defendant and Counterclaimant 15 Image: Counterclaimant Counterclaimant 16 vs. 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and Counterclaimant 19 Image: Counterclaimant 12 Image: Counterclaimant 13 Image: Counterclaimant 14 Image: Counterclaimant 15 Image: Counterclaimant 16 Image: Counterclaimant 17 Image: Counterclaimant 18 Image: Counterclaimant 19	5		
 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 12 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD. and DATEL DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT, INC., 14 Plaintiffs and Counterclaimant Defendants 15 Ns. 16 vs. 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and Counterclaimant 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 	6		
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD, and DATEL 12 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD, and DATEL 13 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD, and DATEL 14 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD, and DATEL 15 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD, and DATEL 16 vs. 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and 19 Counterclaimant 10 Sefendant and 11 Defendant and 12 Sefendant and 13 Defendant and 14 Defendant and 15 Defendant and 16 vs. 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and 19 Sefendant and 12 Sefendant and 13 Sefendant and 14 Sefendant and 15 Sefendant and 16 Sefendant and 17 Sefendant and 18 Sefendant and 19 Sefendant and	7		
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD. and DATEL 13 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD. and DATEL 14 Date Development, INC., 14 Plaintiffs and Counterclaimant 15 Defendants 16 vs. 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and Counterclaimant 20 Counterclaimant 21 Counterclaimant 22 Counterclaimant 23 Counterclaimant 24 Counterclaimant 25 Counterclaimant 26 Counterclaimant 27 Counterclaimant 28 Counterclaimant	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11 11 12 DATEL HOLDINGS LTD, and DATEL DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT, INC., 13 Datel HOLDINGS LTD, and DATEL DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT, INC., 14 Plaintiffs and Counterclaimant Defendants 15 Defendants 16 vs. 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and Counterclaimant 19	9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12DATEL HOLDINGS LTD. and DATEL13DATEL HOLDINGS LTD. and DATEL14Plaintiffs and Counterclaimant15Defendants16vs.17MICROSOFT CORPORATION,18Defendant and Counterclaimant19Defendant and Counterclaimant20Image: Conterclaimant21Image: Conterclaimant22Image: Conterclaimant23Image: Conterclaimant24Image: Conterclaimant25Image: Conterclaimant26Image: Conterclaimant27Image: Conterclaimant28Image: Conterclaimant29Image: Conterclaimant20Image: Conterclaimant21Image: Conterclaimant22Image: Conterclaimant23Image: Conterclaimant24Image: Conterclaimant25Image: Conterclaimant26Image: Conterclaimant27Image: Conterclaimant28Image: Conterclaimant29Image: Conterclaimant20Image: Conterclaimant21Image: Conterclaimant22Image: Conterclaimant23Image: Conterclaimant24Image: Conterclaimant25Image: Conterclaimant26Image: Conterclaimant27Image: Conterclaimant28Image: Conterclaimant29Image: Conterclaimant29Image: Conterclaimant21Image: Conterclaimant22 <td>10</td> <td colspan="2">SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION</td>	10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13DATEL HOLDINGS LTD. and DATEL DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT, INC.,14Plaintiffs and Counterclaimant Defendants15NICROSOFT CORPORATION,16vs.17MICROSOFT CORPORATION,18Defendant and Counterclaimant20	11		
13 DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT, INC., [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT MICROSOFT 14 Plaintiffs and Counterclaimant Defendants DEFENDANT MICROSOFT 16 vs. CORPORATION'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL CERTAIN DOCUMENTS 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Defendant and Counterclaimant 19	12	DATEL HOLDINGS LTD and DATEL	CASE NO. CV 09-5535 EDL
14 Plaintiffs and Counterclaimant Defendants CORPORATION'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL CERTAIN DOCUMENTS 16 vs. 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and Counterclaimant 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	13		
15 Defendants MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL 16 vs. 17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and Counterclaimant 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	14		CORPORATION'S ADMINISTRATIVE
17 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 18 Defendant and Counterclaimant 19	15		
18 Defendant and Counterclaimant 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	16	vs.	
Image: Counterclaimant 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	17	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,	
$ \begin{array}{c c} 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ \end{array} $	18	~	
 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	19		
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	20		
23 24 25 26 27 28	21		
24 25 26 27 28	22		
25 26 27 28	23		
26 27 28	24		
27 28			
28			
	28		
FILE UNDER SEAL; NO. CV 09-5535 EDL			[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOT. TO FILE UNDER SEAL; NO. CV 09-5535 EDL

1	As set forth in Microsoft's Motion for Administrative Relief To File Under Seal		
2	Certain Documents, and pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Microsoft has submitted to		
3	Judge's chambers the following confidential documents:		
4	Microsoft Corporation's Opposition To Plaintiffs' Motion To Compel And For		
5	Protective Order Pursuant To Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 2019.210		
6	Gratzinger Declaration In Support of Microsoft Corporation's Opposition To		
7	Plaintiffs' Motion To Compel And For Protective Order Pursuant To Cal. Code Civ.		
8	Proc. § 2019.210 and exhibits thereto		
9	Pursuant to Local Rule 79-5(c), Microsoft has provided a redacted, public version of these		
10	documents.		
11	FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, the above documents are to be filed under seal.		
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated: July, <u>15</u> , 2011		
14	The Honorable Elization aposte The IT IS SO ORDERED		
15			
16	Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte		
17	Judge Einzus		
18	THE ST		
19	Submitted by:		
20	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
21			
22	By: <u>/s/ Peter E. Gratzinger</u> PETER E. GRATZINGER		
23	Attorneys for Defendant		
24	Microsoft Corp.		
25 26			
26 27			
27			
28	- 1 - [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOT. TO FILE UNDER SEAL; NO. CV 09-5535 EDL		