

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BOON RAWD TRADING
INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

PALEEWONG TRADING CO., INC.,

Defendant.

No. C 09-05617 WHA


**ORDER REGARDING
DISPUTE OVER COURT-
ORDERED DEPOSITIONS**

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

Pursuant to the October 22 order following a discovery dispute hearing held on October 19, 2010, plaintiff Boon Rawd Trading International Co., Ltd., was ordered to produce two witnesses for depositions on November 9 and 11 (Dkt. No. 61 at 4). Based upon a discovery dispute letter filed today by defendant Paleewong Trading Co., Inc., it appears as though plaintiff intends to violate the October 22 order and *not* produce these individuals. If the October 22 order is *in fact* violated, the proper procedure for defendant to follow will be to file a formal Rule 37 motion for sanctions noticed on a normal 35-day briefing calendar.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 5, 2010.


WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE