1	
1 2	
2	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	RICHTEK TECHNOLOGY No. C 09-05659 WHA
11	CORPORATION and RICHTEK USA, INC.,
12	Plaintiffs, v. NOTICE REGARDING RESPONSES TO
13	v. RESPONSES TO SHOW CAUSE ORDER
14	CORPORATION, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	
17 18	Having considered all submissions filed in response to the January 3 order to show cause
10	why this action should not be stayed pending the outcome of the related Taiwanese actions, the
20	undersigned judge has not yet determined to stay the action. In the meantime, the parties are
21	directed to proceed with discovery and all other procedural obligations.
22	IT IS SO NOTICED.
23	
24	Dated: January 7, 2011.
25	WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26	
27	
28	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California