

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHTEK TECHNOLOGY  
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

UPI SEMICONDUCTOR  
CORPORATION, *et al.*,

Defendants.

---

No. C 09-05659 WHA

**ORDER ALLOWING  
JURISDICTIONAL  
DISCOVERY AND  
SUSPENDING ALL  
MOTION PRACTICE**

Defendant Powerchip Technology Corporation moves for dismissal of all claims Richtek Technology Corporation asserts against it, based on lack of personal jurisdiction. After full briefing and a hearing on the motion, the record remains inadequate to decide the motion at this time. Accordingly, jurisdictional discovery will be allowed as set forth in this order.

The allegations in Richtek's complaint refer to the accused products simply as "power-management products." This description is too vague to generate useful discovery. On or before **JANUARY 31, 2011**, Richtek must file papers identifying the specific chips or devices it contends infringe the patents in suit. If Richtek already has specifically identified the accused products via infringement contentions, then Richtek may file and rest on its contentions. Either way, this filing must be accompanied by a sworn declaration stating Richtek's good-faith basis for accusing these products of infringement.

1 With respect to each accused product, Powerchip and uPI Semiconductor Corporation  
2 then shall each produce independently to Richtek for inspection and copying *all* materials  
3 necessary to show the *full* extent to which Powerchip has made or manufactured the accused  
4 products or components thereof, including without limitation all contracts, design specifications,  
5 delivery schedules, emails, letters, notes, and other materials bearing on the subject. Failure to  
6 fully comply may result in adverse inferences being drawn with respect to Powerchip's pending  
7 motion to dismiss (and possibly with respect to other future issues as well). This discovery must  
8 be completed by **FEBRUARY 28, 2011**.

9 Richtek then may depose a total of up to four individuals from uPI or Powerchip to  
10 develop evidence with respect to the personal jurisdiction issue. Counsel may also inquire as to  
11 the existence and location of documents. These depositions are *not* limited to personal  
12 jurisdiction issues but may address the merits; otherwise, counsel will bicker over whether  
13 questions are proper or improper. These depositions shall count toward the ten depositions  
14 allocated to Richtek under FRCP 30. This discovery must be completed by **MARCH 31, 2011**.

15 No later than **APRIL 14, 2011**, both Richtek and Powerchip may supplement their  
16 existing submissions on the personal jurisdiction issue with the benefit of the discovery allowed  
17 by this order.

18 If any other defendant intends to raise issues of personal jurisdiction, it must so advise  
19 the Court by **NOON ON JANUARY 20, 2011**. A discovery plan to address those personal  
20 jurisdiction issues will be considered at that time. All other personal jurisdiction issues will be  
21 deemed waived.

22 No further motions *of any kind* may be filed by any party until after all pending motions  
23 have been resolved and permission to resume motion practice has been granted. The reason for  
24 this limitation is that counsel have filed too many motions.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26  
27 Dated: January 14, 2011.

28   
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE