1	v.)
2	SUNPOWER CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,)
3	•)
4	Nominal Defendant.) _)
5	DAVID CLARKE, Derivatively on Behalf of SUNPOWER CORPORATION,) Case No. C 09-05925-JSW
6	Plaintiff,) Honorable Judge Jeffrey S. White
7	V.)
8		ĺ
9	THOMAS H. WERNER, T.J. RODGERS, W. STEVE ALBRECHT, BETSY S. ATKINS, UWE-ERNST BUFE, THOMAS)
10	R. MCDANIEL, PAT WOOD III, DENNIS V. ARRIOLA, EMMANUEL T.)
11	HERNANDEZ, DANIEL S. SHUGAR, DOUGLAS J. RICHARDS, BRUCE R.)
12	LEDESMA, MARTY T. REESE, AND DOES 1-20,))
13	Defendants,	ĺ
14	V.)
15	SUNPOWER CORPORATION, a)
16	Delaware corporation,)
17	Nominal Defendant.)
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

STIP. AND [Proposed] Order re Consolidating Actions, Appointing Lead Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel, Scheduling, and Related Matters; Case No. CV 09-05731 HRL

28

WHEREAS, there are presently two related shareholder derivative actions against certain of the officers and directors of SunPower Corporation ("SunPower") on file in this Court;

WHEREAS, in an effort to assure consistent rulings and decisions and the avoidance of unnecessary duplication of effort, all of the counsel for the parties in the related SunPower shareholder derivative actions currently on file in this Court enter into this stipulation. The counsel are: (1) Robbins Umeda LLP on behalf of plaintiff Richard Logan; (2) Johnson Bottini LLP on behalf of plaintiff David Clarke; and (4) Morrison & Foerster LLP on behalf of nominal defendant SunPower Corporation and individual defendants Thomas H. Werner, Thurman J. Rodgers, W. Steve Albrecht, Betsy S. Atkins, Uwe-Ernst Bufe, Thomas R. McDaniel, Pat Wood, III, Dennis V. Arriola, Emmanuel T. Hernandez, Daniel S. Shugar, Douglas J. Richards, Bruce R. Ledesma, and Marty T. Reese;

WHEREAS, on November 16, 2009, SunPower issued a press release announcing an internal investigation by its Audit Committee; and

WHEREAS, the parties agree that it would be duplicative and wasteful of the Court's resources for defendants named in plaintiffs' shareholder derivative actions to have to respond to the individual complaints prior to the agreed upon consolidation and filing of a consolidated complaint.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by plaintiffs and defendants, through their respective counsel of record, as follows:

1. The following actions are hereby related and consolidated for all purposes, including pre-trial proceedings and trial:

<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Case Number</u>	Date Filed
Logan v. Werner, et al.	No. C 09-05731-HRL	December 4, 2009
Clarke v. Werner, et al.	No. C 09-05925-JSW	December 17, 2009

2. Every pleading filed in the consolidated action, or in any separate action included herein, shall bear the following caption:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3

IN RE SUNPOWER CORPORATION SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE LITIGATION) Master File No. C 09-05731-HRL)
This Document Relates To:)))
ALL ACTIONS	ý)

- 3. The files of the consolidated action shall be maintained in one file under Master File No. C 09-05731-HRL.
- 4. Counsel for plaintiffs and defendants agree to meet and confer in good faith to arrange a schedule for the designation of an operative complaint or the filing of a Consolidated Derivative Complaint ("Consolidated Complaint") and a briefing schedule concerning any responsive pleading to the Consolidated Complaint within ten (10) days after the public announcement of the results and completion of the internal investigation. If the parties cannot agree to a schedule, plaintiff shall either designate a complaint as operative or file a Consolidated Derivative Complaint within thirty (30) days after the public announcement of the results and completion of the internal investigation, and defendants shall respond to the complaint within thirty (30) days.
- 5. If any defendant challenges plaintiffs' standing to maintain this derivative action on demand futility grounds – i.e., that plaintiffs failed to satisfy the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23.1 or Delaware Chancery Court Rule 23.1 by failing to plead facts sufficient to raise a reasonable doubt that a pre-litigation demand on SunPower's Board of Directors would have been futile, it is Lead Plaintiffs' position that demand futility should be determined as it relates to the designated complaint or Consolidated Complaint based on the membership of the Board on the date plaintiff Logan filed his original complaint (December 4, 2009). By agreeing to this stipulation, defendants do not waive any argument they may have that demand futility should be determined

27

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1 2 3	DATED: December 30, 2009	JOHNSON BOTTINI, LLP FRANK JOHNSON FRANCIS A. BOTTINI, JR. BRETT M. WEAVER	
4		s/ Frank Johnson FRANK JOHNSON	
5		501 W. Broadway, Suite 1720	
6		San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 230-0063	
7		Facsimile: (619) 238-0622	
8		[Proposed] Co-Lead Counsel and Counsel for Plaintiff David Clarke	
9	DATED: December 30, 2009	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
10	,	JORDAN ETH JUDSON E. LOBDELL	
11		JODGOIVE, EODDELE	
12		s/ Jordan Eth	
13		JORDAN ETH	
14		425 Market Street	
15		San Francisco, CA 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	
16		Counsel for defendants Thomas H. Werner,	
17		Thurman J. Rodgers, W. Steve Albrecht, Betsy S. Atkins, Uwe-Ernst Bufe, Thomas R.	
18		McDaniel, Pat Wood, III, Dennis V. Arriola, Emmanuel T. Hernandez, Daniel S. Shugar,	
19		Douglas J. Richards, Bruce R. Ledesma, Marty T. Reese, and nominal defendant SunPower	
		Corporation	
20	* * *		
21			
22		DER	
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24	January 4, 2010		
25	DATED	HONGRABLE FOWARD R. LI OYD	
26	450960_3	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
27			
28			
	STIP AND PROPOSED OPDER RECONSOLIDATING ACTION	5 -	

STIP. AND [Proposed] Order re Consolidating Actions, Appointing Lead Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel, Scheduling, and Related Matters; Case No. CV 09-05731 HRL

1	I, Jordan Eth, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this		
2	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Consolidating Actions, Appointing Lead Plaintiffs		
3	and Lead Counsel, Scheduling, and Related Matters. In compliance with General Order No. 45,		
4	X.B., I hereby attest that Frank Johnson and Mark M. Umeda have concurred in this filing.		
5			
6	/s/ Jordan Eth JORDAN ETH		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			