1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP JOHN S. BATTENFELD (SBN 119513) 300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132 Tel: 213.612.1018, Fax: 213.612.2501 email: jbattenfeld@morganlewis.com MICHAEL J. PUMA (Admitted pro hac vice) JUSTIN S. BROOKS (Admitted pro hac vice) 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: 215-963-5000, Fax: 215-963-5001 E-mail: mpuma@morganlewis.com E-mail: justin.brooks@morganlewis.com Attorneys for Defendants Sara Lee Corporation, Sara Lee Bakery Group and Earthgrains Baking Companies, Inc.	
11	SPIRO MOORE LLP, IRA SPIRO (SBN 67641),	
12	ira@spiromoore.com JENNIFER CONNOR (SBN 241480,	
13	jennifer@spiromoore.com 11377 W. OLYMPIC BLVD., 5TH FLOOR	
	LOS ANGELES, CA 90064	
14	TEL: 310.235.2468, FAX: 310.235.2456	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18		
19	SAN FRANCISO	CO DIVISION
20	DAVID M. CATHCART, JAMES H. WHITEHEAD, ROBERT W. DECKER, DALE	Case No. CV 09-5748 MMC
21	BALDISSERI, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	STIPULATION TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER TO CONTINUE
22	Plaintiff,	DEADLINE FOR MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION, TO ALLOW FILING
23	v.	OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON FAAAA IN ADVANCE
24	SARA LEE CORPORATION, SARA LEE	OF CERTIFICATION BRIEFING, AND
25	BAKERY GROUP, EARTHGRAINS BAKING COMPANIES, INC. (formerly sued as DOE 1)	TO SET A BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION
26	and DOES 2 through 20,	[PROPOSED] ORDER
	Defendants.	The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
27		Chomesact Manne III Chomes
28		

The parties stipulate as set forth in the paragraphs below, based on the following facts:

- A. The Scheduling Order (Dkt. #33) set forth initial deadlines in this case. The Order scheduled, among other things, dates for briefing the parties' initial motions and cross-motions for partial summary judgment, a date for the hearing on partial summary judgment, dates for briefing class certification, and dates for the hearing on class certification and a status conference.
- B. Since then, pursuant to stipulated orders, those dates and others have been continued, to accommodate document production, a class action jury trial by counsel for Plaintiffs in another case, a change in Defendants' corporate ownership, a consequent change in the attorneys of record for Defendants, a mediation, and other matters. (Dkt. #52, 56, 58, 60, 68, 77, 79, 84.)
- C. Based on recent developments described below, the parties now jointly request an additional extension, of approximately 90 days, of the deadlines for the motion for class certification and other deadlines in the amended scheduling order, and the parties jointly request that Defendants be permitted to file a motion for partial summary judgment on Plaintiffs' remaining meal period claims (and all other claims derivative of such claims) based on a defense under the Federal Aviation Administration Authorization Act (FAAAA) that certain courts have applied to dismiss meal period claims, and certain courts have determined not to apply.
- D. The parties participated in a mediation in San Francisco on March 22, 2012. They did not reach a settlement, but they are continuing to negotiate. They are also attempting to resolve or narrow some of the issues through stipulations and motions for partial summary judgment, as evidenced by the parties' recent stipulation to the partial dismissal of Plaintiffs' meal period claims approved by the Court. Until very recently, discovery has focused on Defendants' defense under California Labor Code 514, which has now been resolved by the ruling on Defendants' motion for partial summary judgment on that defense. This staged discovery is pursuant to the scheduling order as amended (e.g. by Dkt #56). Also, very recently Defendants filed

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

25

26

2728

a motion to amend their answer.

E. The parties promptly moved forward with discovery after the mediation. The parties have scheduled the depositions of Defendants' 30(b)(6) witness (Plaintiffs have referred to these as the depositions of Defendants under Rule 30(b)(6)) and depositions of Plaintiffs, to take place in late May and mid-June 2012. The parties have been working since April 2012, beginning almost immediately after the mediation, to negotiate the scope and timing of depositions and other discovery. Defendants have responded and objected to additional written discovery served by Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs will serve responses and objections to Defendants' additional written discovery next week, and the parties have scheduled an in-person conference for next week as to certain discovery disputes. If those discovery disputes cannot be resolved, Plaintiffs plan to bring them before the Magistrate Judge, and Plaintiffs believe that resolution of some of these disputes will be important for their motion for class certification. Defendants believe that their planned motion for summary judgment as to the remaining meal period claim and related derivative claims (i.e. claims of any nature arising out of alleged meal period violations prior to January 1, 2011) pursuant to the FAAAA will narrow the scope of issues to be briefed as to class certification and/or facilitate a resolution of the action. However, currently the deadline to file a motion for class certification is only two months away, July 27, 2012.

WHEREFORE, THE PARTIES STIPULATE and request that the Court order that the Scheduling Order (Dkt. #33 and #84) be modified to reflect the deadlines listed below, which, on the motion for class certification, retains the same intervals between the motion, opposition, and reply:

MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

- 1. Deadline for Plaintiffs to file motion for class certification: extended from July 27, 2012 to October 31, 2012.
- 2. Deadline for Defendants to file opposition to motion for class certification: extended

from September 14, 2012 to December 19, 2012.

- 3. Deadline for Plaintiffs to file reply in further support of motion for class certification: extended from November 2, 2012 to February 6, 2013
- 4. Hearing on motion for class certification: continued from December 14, 2012 to March 8, 2013 at 9:00 a.m.

MOTION FOR CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION

5. Plaintiffs agree not to oppose Defendants' recent motion to extend the Local Rules' standard briefing schedule for the pending Motion for Conditional Certification, without prejudice to the position of any party for or against any extension of the time to move for class certification beyond the one agreed to in this stipulation..

MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON FAAAA DEFENSE

- 6. Defendants may file a motion for partial summary judgment on their defense under the FAAAA at any time after the first day of the deposition pertaining to the FAAAA, and before July 27, 2012. To the extent that there is any dispute as to the scope of the deposition on these subjects that cannot be resolved by the parties, the parties shall cooperate to seek the Court's resolution of the dispute as to the scope so that the resolution occurs at least three weeks before July 27 so that such deposition then can be completed at least 10 days in advance of July 27.
- 7. Plaintiffs' opposition to said motion for partial summary judgment shall be filed by 21 days after the filing of the motion.
- 8. Defendants' reply in response to Plaintiffs' opposition to said motion for partial summary judgment shall be filed by 21 days after the filing of the opposition.
- 9. The hearing on said motion for partial summary judgment shall be 49 days after the filing of the motion, or on such later date as the Court orders.

CASE MANAGEMENT

Joint Case Management Conference Statement due date: continued from December 14,
 2012 to April 5, 2013.

1	11. Case Management Conference: continued from December 21, 2012 to April 12, at 10:30	
2	a.m.	
3	In compliance with General Order No. 45 (X), as filing party, Plaintiffs attest that all signatories	
4	below concur in the filing of this document.	
5	DATED M. 17 2012 MODGAN LEWIS (DOCKHIS LD	
6	DATED: May 17, 2012 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
7	BY: <u>/s/ Michael Puma</u> Michael Puma	
8	Attorneys for Defendants	
9	DATED: May 17, 2012 SPIRO MOORE LLP	
10	BY: <u>/s/ Ira Spiro</u> Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
11		
12	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
13	SO ORDERED as stated in paragraphs 1 through 11 above; the hearing on the motion for summary judgment on the FAAAA defense, however, shall be noticed for hearing no less than 56 days after the filing of the motion.	
14		
15	DATED: May 21, 2012 Mafine M. Cheller	
16	MAXINE M. CHËSNEY United States District Judge	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		