

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 DAVID M. CATHCART, et al.,

No. C-09-5748 MMC

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 SARA LEE CORPORATION, et al.,

**ORDER VACATING MARCH 18, 2011
HEARING ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO
CHANGE TIME; SETTING DEADLINE
FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE
RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION**

15 Defendants
16 _____/

17 Before the Court is plaintiffs' "Motion for Order to Control Scheduling of Motion for
18 Summary Judgment and Extend Discovery Cut-off," filed February 4, 2011 and noticed for
19 hearing on March 18, 2011. By the instant motion, plaintiffs seek to extend two deadlines
20 set forth in the Court's November 12, 2010 scheduling order. First, plaintiffs seek an
21 extension of the March 18, 2011 deadline for defendants to file a motion for summary
22 judgment as to the issue of exemptions under Labor Code § 514 and the Motor Carrier Act.
23 Second, plaintiffs seek an extension of the March 1, 2011 deadline to complete discovery
24 with respect to said exemptions.

25 Under the Local Rules of this District, a motion seeking to "alter[] an event or
26 deadline already fixed by the Court," see Civil L. R. 6-1(b), is a "motion to change time,"
27 see Civil L.R. 6-3. Opposition to a motion to change time shall be filed "no later than 4
28 days after receiving the motion," see Civil L.R. 6-3(c), and no hearing on the motion is

1 conducted, unless the parties are otherwise advised by the Court upon completion of
2 briefing. See Civil L.R. 6-3(d).

3 Accordingly, in conformity with Civil Local Rule 6-3, the March 18, 2011 hearing on
4 plaintiffs' motion is hereby VACATED, and defendants are hereby DIRECTED to file any
5 response to plaintiffs' motion no later than February 11, 2011. As of February 11, 2011,
6 and unless the parties are otherwise advised, the Court will take the motion under
7 submission.

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9
10 Dated: February 8, 2011


MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge