1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MAYER BROWN LLP JOHN NADOLENCO (SBN 181128) jnadolenco@mayerbrown.com JEROME JAUFFRET (SBN 159135) jjauffret@mayerbrown.com KRISTEN ROWSE (SBN 235294) krowse@mayerbrown.com 350 South Grand Avenue 25th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1503 Telephone: (213) 229-9500 Facsimile: (213) 625-0248  Attorneys for Defendants	
9	SARA LEE CORPORATION, SARA LEE BAKERY GROUP and EARTHGRAINS BAKING COMPANIES, INC.	
10	SPIRO MOSS LLP	
11	Ira Spiro (SBN 67641) Ira@spiromoss.com	
12	11377 W. Olympic Blvd 5th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90064	
13	Telephone: 310-235-2468 Facsimile: 310-235-2456	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
18		
19	DAVID M. CATHCART, JAMES H.	
20	WHITEHEAD, ROBERT W. DECKER, DALE	Case No. CV 09-5748 MMC
	BALDISSERI, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	STIPULATION FOR LEAVE TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER
21   22	Plaintiff,	TO EXTEND MOTION AND DISCOVERY DATES
23	V.	[ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER
24	SARA LEE CORPORATION, SARA LEE BAKERY GROUP, EARTHGRAINS BAKING COMPANIES, INC. (formerly sued as DOE 1)	The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
25	and DOES 2 through 20,	Complaint filed: December 8, 2009
26	Defendants.	•
27		
28		
- 1		

Motor Carrier Act exemption, as well as discovery related to Plaintiffs' meal period claims.

Defendants require additional time to complete their document production on these issues.

The parties have scheduled depositions on these issues, including the depositions of the named Plaintiffs and of Defendants under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6). Plaintiffs have requested additional time before the depositions of Plaintiffs begin, so that the depositions do not begin until Defendants complete their document production and Plaintiffs have had time before their depositions to review the documents produced by Defendants, and Defendants are in accord on additional time. Plaintiffs have also filed a motion (Dkt. No. 48) which, in part, requests an extension of the discovery cut-off to June 1, 2011. Defendants do not object to such an extension provided additional dates also are extended, which Plaintiffs also agree to.

In view of the additional time needed for discovery on those issues, it is appropriate that Defendants' deadline to file its motion(s) for summary judgment on those issues should be extended, as should the other deadlines in connection with the motion(s).

Further, to allow efficient and orderly management of the case, the parties believe there should be sufficient time for additional discovery between any decision on the motion(s) for summary judgment and Plaintiffs' motion for class certification, in large part because the decision on summary judgment could greatly affect the scope of any motion for class certification. Thus, the deadlines regarding the motion for class certification should be extended to allow the parties to conduct any necessary further discovery on certification issues, following a decision on the motion(s) for summary judgment.

The parties presently believe extension of these deadlines and hearing dates as listed below is necessary and appropriate and will not prejudice either party.

WHEREFORE, THE PARTIES STIPULATE and request that the Court order that the Scheduling Order (Docket Non. 33) be modified to reflect the deadlines listed below, or to set forth dates chosen by the Court:

- Close of discovery on Labor Code § 514 and Motor Carrier Act exemptions: June 1, 2011;
- 2. Deadline for filing of Defendants' motion(s) for summary judgment on Labor Code §

1	514 and Motor Carrier Act exemptions: June 17, 2011;	
2	3. Deadline for filing Plaintiffs' opposition to above motion(s) and cross-motion: July 8,	
3	2011;	
4	4. Deadline for reply on Defendants' motion(s) and opposition to cross-motion: July 15,	
5	2011;	
6	5. Hearing on above motion(s): August 5, 2011, 9:00 a.m.;	
7	6. Deadline for Plaintiffs to file motion for class certification: November 18, 2011;	
8	7. Deadline for Defendants to file opposition to motion for class certification: January 6,	
9	2012;	
10	8. Deadline for Plaintiffs to file reply on motion for class certification: February 24,	
11	2012;	
12	9. Hearing on motion for class certification: March 16, 2012, 9:00 a.m.;	
13	10. Case Management Conference: April 27, 2012.	
14	SO STIPULATED.	
15	In compliance with General Order No. 45 (X), as filing party, Defendants attest that all	
16	signatories below concur in the filing of this document.	
17	DATED: February 14, 2011 MAYER BROWN LLP JOHN NADOLENCO	
18	JEROME JAUFFRET KRISTEN ROWSE	
19	KRISTEN ROWSE	
20	By: /s/ John Nadolenco	
21	John Nadolenco  Attorneys for Defendants	
22	SARA LEE CORPORATION, SARA LEE BAKERY GROUP and EARTHGRAINS	
23	BAKING COMPANIES, INC.	
24	DATED: February 14, 2011 SPIRO MOSS LLP	
25		
26	By: /s/ Ira Spiro	
27	Ira Spiro Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
28	1	

## **[PROPOSED]** ORDER SO ORDERED as stated in paragraphs 1 through 10 above. Further, plaintiffs' Motion for Order to Control Scheduling is hereby DENIED as moot. DATED: February 22, 2011