1	MAYER BROWN LLP JOHN NADOLENCO (SBN 181128)				
2	jnadolenco@mayerbrown.com JEROME JAUFFRET (SBN 159135)				
3	jjauffret@mayerbrown.com KRISTEN ROWSE (SBN 235294)				
4	krowse@mayerbrown.com 350 South Grand Avenue				
5	25th Floor				
6	Los Angeles, CA 90071-1503 Telephone: (213) 229-9500				
7	Facsimile: (213) 625-0248				
8 9	Attorneys for Defendants SARA LEE CORPORATION, SARA LEE BAKERY GROUP and EARTHGRAINS BAKING COMPANIES, INC.				
10	SPIRO MOSS LLP				
11	Ira Spiro (SBN 67641) Ira@spiromoss.com 11377 W. Olympic Blvd 5th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90064				
12					
12	Telephone: 310-235-2468 Facsimile: 310-235-2456				
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
16	NORTHERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA			
17	SAN FRANCISC	CO DIVISION			
18					
10	DAVID M CATHCADT JAMES II				
	DAVID M. CATHCART, JAMES H. WHITEHEAD, ROBERT W. DECKER, DALE	Case No. CV 09-5748 MMC			
20	BALDISSERI, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	STIPULATION FOR LEAVE TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER			
21	Plaintiff,	TO CORRECT PARTIES' OMISSION OF REPLY DATE, EXTEND REPLY			
22	V.	AND HEARING DATES			
23	SARA LEE CORPORATION, SARA LEE	[PROPOSED] ORDER			
24	BAKERY GROUP, EARTHGRAINS BAKING COMPANIES, INC. (formerly sued as DOE 1)	The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney			
25	and DOES 2 through 20,				
26	Defendants.	Complaint filed: December 8, 2009			
27					
28					
		IODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER TO EXTEND DATES CASE NO. CV 09-5748 MMC			
	700226951 700570113				

1	The parties stipulate as set forth in the numbered paragraphs below, based on the			
2	following facts:			
3	A. The Scheduling Order (Dkt. No. 33) set initial deadlines in this case. The Order			
4	scheduled, among other things, dates for filing motions and cross-motions for			
5	summary judgment on two affirmative defenses, dates for oppositions, and dates for			
6	replies.			
7	B. The parties stipulated to extensions of those deadlines because, among other things,			
8	more time was needed in order for Defendants to produce documents pertinent to the			
9	motions.			
10	C. However, in stipulating to the extended dates, the parties erroneously omitted			
11	the date for filing the reply in support of Plaintiffs' cross-motion.			
12	D. This stipulation is to correct that error, and to afford each party two weeks to file			
13	replies, rather than one. These are very important motions, and the parties believe the			
14	determination of them will best be served by the additional week for replies.			
15	E. Note that Defendants decided to file a motion on only one of the two affirmative			
16	defenses, Labor Code § 514. Plaintiffs will follow suit, moving on only that one.			
17	Defendants have filed their motion. Plaintiffs' opposition and cross-motion are due			
18	September 9, 2011. This stipulation does not seek an extension of that deadline.			
19	F. The stipulation below proposes to set the hearing on the motions on October 28,			
20	2011. The current deadline to complete mediation is that very date. The parties			
21	believe a successful mediation depends on a ruling on the motions. Therefore, they			
22	propose to extend the mediation deadline by three weeks, to November 18, 2011.			
23	G. The current deadlines on the motion and cross-motion are as follows:			
24	a. Deadline for filing Defendants' motion(s) for summary judgment on Labor			
25	Code § 514 (and Motor Carrier Act exemptions): August 19, 2011			
26	(This August 19 deadline was met.)			
27	b. Deadline for filing Plaintiffs' opposition to above motion(s) and for filing			
28	cross-motion: September 9, 2011;			
	2 STIPULATION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER TO EXTEND DATES			
	CASE NO. CV 09-5748 MMC			

1	c. Deadline for reply on Defendants' motion(s) a	nd opposition to cross-	
2	motion:	September 16, 2011;	
3	d. Deadline for reply on Plaintiffs' cross-motion:	NONE	
4	e. Hearing on above motion and cross-motion:	October 7, 2011, 9:00 a.m.	
5	f. Deadline to complete mediation	October 28, 2011	
6	H. Note that the discovery and motion deadlines have previous	ously been continued by	
7	stipulated order (see Docket Nos. 35, 44, 52, 56, 58 and 60). The deadline to		
8	complete mediation has also been continued by stipulated order, to fall after the		
9	hearing on the parties' motions for summary judgment (see Docket Nos. 54, 58, and		
10	60). The current deadline for the parties to complete me	ediation: October 28, 2011.	
11	I. Note also that the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification is not		
12	until March 23, 2012. The hearing on the motion for cla	ass certification is scheduled	
13	for July 20, 2012. There is no trial date scheduled, but after the hearing on the motion		
14	for class certification, a Case Management Conference i	s scheduled for August 31,	
15	2012. This stipulation does not seek to move those date	s.	
16	WHEREFORE, THE PARTIES STIPULATE and request that the Court order that the		
17	Scheduling Order (Docket No. 33) be modified to reflect the deadlines listed below, or to set		
18	forth later deadline chosen by the Court:		
19	1. Deadline for reply on Defendants' motion(s) and opposition to cross-motion:		
20	Continue from September 16, 2011 to September 23, 2011.		
21	2. Deadline for reply on Plaintiffs' cross-motion:		
22	There is no deadline. Set deadline fo	r October 7, 2011.	
23	3. Hearing on above motion and cross-motion:		
24	Continue from October 7, 2011 to October 28, 2011,		
25	or such other date as is convenient to the Court.		
26	4. Deadline to complete mediation:		
27	Continue from October 28, 2011 to N	lovember 18, 2011.	
28			
	3 STIPULATION TO MODIFY SCHEDULI		
		CASE NO. CV 09-5748 MMC	

1	In compliance with General Order No. 45 (X), as filing party, Defendants attest that all		
2	signatories below concur in the filing of this document.		
3	DATED: September 7, 2011 MAYER BROWN LLP		
4	JOHN NADOLENCO JEROME JAUFFRET KRISTEN ROWSE		
5	KRISTEN KOWSE		
6	BY: <u>/s/ John Nadolenco</u> John Nadolenco		
7	Attorneys for Defendants SARA LEE CORPORATION, SARA LEE		
8	BAKERY GROUP and EARTHGRAINS BAKING COMPANIES, INC.		
9			
10	DATED: September 6, 2011 SPIRO MOSS LLP		
11	BY: <u>/s/ Ira Spiro</u>		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
13	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
14 15	SO ORDERED as stated in paragraphs 1 through 4 above; specifically, the hearing on the motion is continued to October 28, 2011, at 9:00 a.m.		
16	mai malle		
17	MAZINE M. CHESNEY		
18	United States District Judge		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	4		
	4 STIPULATION TO MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER TO EXTEND DATES CASE NO. CV 09-5748 MMC		