1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Claude M. Stern (Bar No. 96737) claudestern@quinnemanuel.com Evette Pennypacker (Bar No. 203515) evettepennypacker@quinnemanuel.com Thomas R. Watson (Bar No. 227264) tomwatson@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Dr., 5 th floor Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100 Joshua L. Sohn (Bar No. 250105) 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	AN, LLP
9 10	Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage PTE Ltd	1
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP Andrew P. Bridges (Bar No.: 122761) Abridges@winston.com David S. Bloch (SBN: 184530) Dbloch@winston.com Matthew A. Scherb (Bar No. 237461) mscherb@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 101 California Street, 39th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-5802 Telephone: (415) 591-1000 Facsimile: (415) 591-1400 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Inserserve, Inc., et al. UNITED STATES NORTHERN DISTR 	G DISTRICT COURT NICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION
20	INTERSERVE, INC. dba TECHCRUNCH, a Delaware corporation, and CRUNCHPAD,	CASE NO. 09-cv-5812 RS (PVT)
22	INC., a Delaware corporation,	STIPULATION AND [1XXXXXXXXXX ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF
23	Plaintiffs,	TIME REGARDING BRIEFING ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL
24	VS.	
25	FUSION GARAGE PTE. LTD, a Singapore company,	
26	Defendant.	
27		
28		
04049.51632/3689571.1	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 09-CV-5812 RS (PVT)	Dockets.Ju

WHEREAS, on September 7, 2010, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Compel Production of 1 2 Withheld Information and Documents (Dkt. 164) ("Motion"); 3 WHEREAS, Fusion Garage's Opposition to the Motion is currently due September 21, 2010, Plaintiffs' Reply is due September 28, 2010, and the Motion hearing is set for October 12, 4 5 2010; 6 WHEREAS, the Fusion Garage attorney with primary responsibility for Fusion Garage's 7 Opposition has been ill for the past several days and has been unable to effectively respond to 8 Plaintiffs' motion during this time; 9 WHEREAS, due to this illness, Fusion Garage has sought Plaintiffs' stipulation to a two-10 day extension for Fusion Garage's Opposition to the Motion; 11 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs agree to this stipulation, on the condition that Fusion Garage 12 stipulate to a corresponding two-day extension for Plaintiffs' Reply to the Motion and that the 13 hearing currently set for October 12, 2010 remains on calendar; 14 WHEREAS, the following time modifications have been previously made in this case: 15 time to file a responsive pleading (Dkt. No. 16); motion to set the hearing dates on Fusion 16 Garage's motion to dismiss, to strike, and for a more definite statement ("motion to dismiss") and 17 Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction to May, 6, 2010 (Dkt. No. 45); motion to shorten 18 time to hear Fusion Garage's motion to compel documents to support its opposition to Plaintiffs' 19 Motion for a preliminary injunction (Dkt. No. 55); and setting new hearing date on Plaintiffs' 20 motion for a preliminary injunction and defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. 64). 21 WHEREAS, the brief extensions sought by this stipulation will not impact the currently-22 scheduled hearing date for the Motion; 23 NOW, THEREFORE, the parties stipulate that Fusion Garage shall have a two-day 24 extension, until September 23, 2010, to file its Opposition to the Motion. The parties further 25 stipulate that Plaintiffs shall have a corresponding two-day extension, until September 30, 2010, to 26 file their Reply to the Motion. The hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion—October 12, 2010—will remain 27 28

1

1	unchanged.	
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
3	Dated: September 21, 2010	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
4		SULLIVAN, LLP
5		By /s/
6		Thomas R. Watson
7		Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage PTE., Ltd.
8		
9		
10	DATED: September 21, 2010	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
11		
12		By /s/ Matthew A. Scherb
13		Attorneys for Plaintiffs TechCrunch, Inc. and
14		CrunchPad, Inc.
15		
16		NDEDED
17	Pursuant to stipulation, it is SO C	JKDEKED.
18	DATED: September <u>22</u> , 2010	
19	, 2010	
20		Patricia V. Frumbull
21		HON. PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
22		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
9571.1	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	2

1	FILER'S ATTESTATION		
2	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest that I		
3	have obtained concurrence in the filing of this document from Matthew A. Sherb, counsel for		
4	Plaintiffs.		
5	DATED: September 21, 2010 By: <u>/s/ Thomas R. Watson</u>		
6	DATED: September 21, 2010 By: <u>/s/ Thomas R. Watson</u> Thomas R. Watson		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
04049.51632/3689571.1	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 3		