1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP Claude M. Stern (Bar No. 96737)	
2	claudestern@quinnemanuel.com Patrick Doolittle (Bar No. 203659)	
3	patrickdoolittle@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor	**E-Filed 4/13/10**
4	San Francisco, California 94111	
5	Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	
6	Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage PTE. Ltd	
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10		
11	INTERSERVE, INC. dba TECHCRUNCH, a	CASE NO. 09-cv-5812 RS
12	Delaware corporation, and CRUNCHPAD, INC., a Delaware corporation,	STIPULATED REQUEST AND
13	Plaintiffs,	[xxxxxxxx] ORDER SETTING NEW HEARING DATE ON PLAINTIFFS'
14	vs.	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND DEFENDANT'S
15	FUSION GARAGE PTE. LTD, a Singapore	MOTION TO DISMISS AND RELATED BRIEFING SCHEDULES
16	company,	Dept.: Hon. Richard Seeborg
17	Defendant.	
18		
19		
20	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have filed a Motion for a Preliminary Injunction ("PI Motion");	
21	WHEREAS, Defendant has filed a Motion to Dismiss, to Strike, or for a More Definite	
22	Statement ("Motion to Dismiss");	
23	WHEREAS, the Court has set both motions for hearing on May 6, 2010;	
24	WHEREAS, the parties wish to take certain depositions in advance of the hearing on the PI	
25	Motion and have presently scheduled such depositions during the week of April 19, 2010; and	
26	WHEREAS, the parties ask the Court to reset the hearing date for May 13, 2010 with	
27	opposition briefs due on April 26, 2010 and reply briefs due on May 3, 2010 notwithstanding the	
28	Local Rules;	
04049.51632/3438051.1	STIPULATED REQUEST AND [xxxx] ORDER SE MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY IN	Case No. 09-cv-5812 RS ETTING NEW HEARING DATE ON PLAINTIFFS' JUNCTION AND DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS Dockets.Justia

1	NOW THEREFORE, the undersigned counsel hereby CONSENT, STIPULATE and	
2	JOINTLY REQUEST the following pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-7(b):	
3	1. The hearing on the PI Motion and Motion to Dismiss shall be continued to May 13,	
4	2010;	
5	2. Defendant's Opposition to the PI Motion shall be due April 26, 2010 and Plaintiffs'	
6	Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss shall be due April 26, 2010.	
7	3. Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of their PI Motion shall be due on May 3, 2010 and	
8	Defendant's Reply in Support of its Motion to Dismiss shall be due May 3, 2010.	
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
10		
11	Dated: April 12, 2010 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	
12	SULLIVAN, LLP	
13	By /S/	
14	Patrick C. Doolittle Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage PTE., Ltd.	
15		
16		
17	DATED: April 12, 2010 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	
18	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{Y}} = \langle \mathbf{S} \rangle$	
19	By <u>/S/</u> Andrew P. Bridges Attorneys for Plaintiffs Interserve, Inc. d/b/a TechCrunch and CrunchPad, Inc.	
20		
21		
22	Pursuant to stipulation, it is so ORDERED.	
23		
24	21101	
25	Dated: 4/13/10	
26	The Honorable Richard Seeborg United States District Judge	
27		
28		
04049.51632/3438051.1	-2- Case No. 09-cv-5812 RS STIPULATION AND [xxxxxxx] ORDER REGARDING HEARING DATE ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR	
	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS	