

1 Jeffrey H. Howard (*pro hac vice*)
 2 Jerome A. Murphy (*pro hac vice*)
 3 CROWELL & MORING LLP
 4 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
 5 Washington, D.C. 20004
 Telephone: 202-624-2500
 Facsimile: 202-628-5116
 Email: jhoward@crowell.com
 jmurphy@crowell.com

6 Jason C. Murray (CA Bar No. 169806)
 7 Joshua C. Stokes (CA Bar No. 220214)
 8 CROWELL & MORING LLP
 9 515 South Flower St., 40th Floor
 10 Los Angeles, CA 90071
 Telephone: 213-622-4750
 Facsimile: 213-622-2690
 Email: jmurray@crowell.com
 jstokes@crowell.com

11 *Counsel for Plaintiff Motorola Mobility, Inc.*
 12 [Additional counsel listed on signature page]

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 In re TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST
 18 LITIGATION

Master Docket No. 07-m-1827 SI

19 This Document Relates To:

20 *Motorola Mobility, Inc. v. AU Optronics*
 21 *Corporation, et al., C 09-5840 SI*

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER FURTHER EXTENDING
 PLAINTIFF'S TIME TO MOVE TO
 COMPEL AS TO CERTAIN
 DISCOVERY**

22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Defendants Chi Mei Corp., Chimei Innolux Corp. (“Chimei Innolux”); Chi Mei
2 Optoelectronics Corp. USA, Inc.; CMO Japan Co. Ltd.; Nexgen Mediatech, Inc.; Nexgen
3 Mediatech USA, Inc. (collectively, “Chi Mei”) and Plaintiff Motorola Mobility, Inc. (“Motorola”)
4 stipulate as follows:

5 WHEREAS Motorola served a Set of Interrogatories on Chi Mei and a set of Requests for
6 Production of Documents on Chimei Innolux on November 4, 2011 (the “Discovery”);

7 WHEREAS Chi Mei provided Responses and Objections to the Discovery on December
8 8, 2011;

9 WHEREAS the parties are meeting and conferring regarding Chi Mei’s Responses and
10 Objections to the Discovery;

11 WHEREAS Chi Mei previously agreed to permit Motorola additional time to move to
12 compel further responses to the Discovery and the Court entered an Order on December 16, 2011
13 setting January 6, 2012 as the deadline to file motions to compel as to the Discovery;

14 WHEREAS the parties desire additional time to meet and confer to resolve their disputes
15 regarding the Discovery, and Chi Mei has agreed to provide Motorola additional time to move to
16 compel.

17 THEREFORE, Chi Mei and Motorola, by their respective undersigned counsel, stipulate
18 and agree as follows:

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

/s/ Jason M. Bussey

James G. Kreissman (SBN 206740)
Harrison J. Frahn IV (SBN 206822)
Jason M. Bussey (SBN 227185)
Michael R. Lizano (SBN 246222)
SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
2550 Hanover Street
Palo Alto, California 94304
jkreissman@stblaw.com
hfrahn@stblaw.com
jbussey@stblaw.com
mlizano@stblaw.com

*Attorney for Defendants Chi Mei Corporation, Chimei
Innolux Corporation, Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA,
Inc., CMO Japan Co., Ltd, Nexgen Mediatech, Inc. and
Nexgen Mediatech USA, Inc.*

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____, 2012

Hon. Susan Illston, United States District Judge

DCACTIVE-17192046.1