1	Thomas Marc Litton (SBN 119985)		
2	tlitton@nydclaw.com SANFORD WITTELS & HEISLER, LLP		
3	555 Montgomery Street, Suite 820		
_	San Francisco, CA 94111		
4	Telephone: (415) 421-4774 Facsimile: (415) 421-4784		
5			
6	Steven L. Wittels (<i>pro hac vice</i>) swittels@nydclaw.com		
7	Jeremy Heisler (<i>pro hac vice</i>)		
8	jheisler@nydclaw.com		
9	SANFORD WITTELS & HEISLER, LLP 1350 Avenue of the Americas, 31st Floor		
9	New York, NY 10022		
10	Telephone: (646) 723-2947		
11	Facsimile: (646) 723-2948		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Joe Lewis Luque,		
13	Herman Richardson, and the Plaintiff Class		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
-	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	JOE LEWIS LUQUE, et al.,		
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
17	Plaintiffs,	3:09CV5885 (CRB)	
18	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
19	AT&T CORP., et al.,	ORDER RE DATE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	
20	Defendants.		
21	Detenuants.		
22	IT IS HEREBY STIPLILATED AND AC	REED by and among the parties hereto through	
23	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the parties hereto through		
	their attorneys of record, that subject to the Court's approval, the Case Management Conference		
24	(the "CMC") currently calendared for May 14, 2010 at 8:30 a.m. is rescheduled to June 25, 2010		
25	at 10:00 a.m. Plaintiffs' Motion for Conditional Collective Action Certification is also noticed to		
26	be heard by the Court on June 25 th at 10:00 a.m. (Doc. 35).		
27	This change in the schedule is necessary because Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs, Steven L.		
28	Wittels, is also counsel in a gender discrimination class action trial currently ongoing in the W		

1

1	District Court for the Southern District of New York, Velez v. Novartis (1:04-cv-9194).		
2	Although it was expected that the trial would be completed by the currently scheduled date of the		
3	CMC, it now appears that the proceedings will continue.		
4	The CMC has been rescheduled one previous time by stipulation of the parties and		
5	endorsement by the Court. A short postponement to June 25, 2010 will not affect the overall		
6	schedule in the case as the parties will in the meantime continue with briefing on Plaintiffs'		
7	collective action Motion and also proceed with discovery as outlined in the parties' Joint 26(f)		
8	Report (Doc. 37).		
9			
10	DATED: May 12, 2010	SANFORD, WITTELS & HEISLER, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs Joe Lewis Luque, Herman Richardson, and the Plaintiff Class	
11			
12			
13		By: /Steven L. Wittels/	
14		Steven L. Wittels	
15	DATED: May 12, 2010	PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP	
16	DATED. May 12, 2010	Attorneys for Defendants AT&T Corp., Pacific Bell	
17		<i>Telephone Co., and AT&T Communications of California, Inc.</i>	
18			
19		By: /Jeffrey D. Wohl/	
20		Jeffrey D. Wohl	
21			
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED, this <u>13</u> day of May 2010.		
23			
24		TT IS SO ORDERED	
25		TT IS SO OND -	
26		R Brever	
27		Z Judge Charles R. Breyer	
28			
		FERN DISTRICT OF CT	
		2	
	Case No. <u>3:09CV5885 (CRB)</u> – STIPI	JLATION	