

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AMELIA D PEREZ and CONSTANTINO R.
PEREZ, JR.,

No. C 09-05942 WHA

Plaintiffs,

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

v.

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, F.A.,
BANK OF AMERICA, CALIFORNIA
RECONVEYANCE COMPANY, and DOES 1
through 50, inclusive.

Defendants.

Plaintiffs, proceeding *pro se*, filed this action alleging various claims to challenge the validity of their mortgage loan. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss scheduled to be heard on February 18, 2010. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-3, any brief in opposition to the motion was due on January 28, 2010, but no such opposition was received. Plaintiffs are **ORDERED** to respond by **NOON ON FEBRUARY 25, 2010**, and show cause for their failure to respond to the motion in accordance with Civil Local Rule 7-3(a) or alternately to file a statement of nonopposition to the motion as required by Civil Local Rule 7-3(b). This order to show cause does not constitute permission to file a late opposition. The hearing scheduled for February 18, 2010, is **VACATED**. A new hearing will be noticed by the Court if necessary.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 2, 2010.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE