1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	
11	PETER C. LEE, No. C 09-06033 WHA
12	Plaintiff,
13	V. ORDER REGARDING REQUEST FOR TRIAL
14	PORT OF OAKLAND and CONTINUANCE CITY OF OAKLAND,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	A jury trial is set to begin on March 28. The parties request a continuance of the trial and
18	pretrial conference dates on the grounds that "[t]he case has been settled." As to defendant Port
19	of Oakland, the parties represent: "The settlement with defendant Port of Oakland is finalized
20	and that defendant has been dismissed." This statement, however, is not accurate. No dismissal
21	has been filed, and Port of Oakland has not been terminated from the action. As to defendant City
22	of Oakland, the parties represent: "The settlement with the defendant City of Oakland remains
23	subject to approval by the City of Oakland City Council. The City is attempting to have this
24	matter placed on a crowed [sic] litigation review docket on an expedited basis for a date in
25	March." (Dkt. No. 38).
26	It is the practice of the undersigned judge to continue trial dates only in the most
27	exceptional circumstances. The parties have not demonstrated that this is such a case.

28 Accordingly, their stipulated request cannot be granted at this time.

United States District Court For the Northern District of California If all claims between plaintiff and defendant Port of Oakland truly have been resolved, then the parties should file a dismissal. If plaintiff and defendant City of Oakland truly have bound themselves to a written settlement agreement pending administrative processing, then they should provide proof of the signed agreement and a more informative explanation for the status of its pending "approval." The parties' stipulated request for a continuance of the trial and pretrial conference dates may be reconsidered after the parties attempt to make a more convincing showing that such a continuance is warranted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 16, 2011.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE