

18 Now pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' second motion for a protective order directing Defendants to depose Tony Chen telephonically. (Dkt. No. 86.) Tony Chen was 19 20 scheduled for a deposition on February 1, 2012 at 10:30 a.m. This scheduled deposition did 21 not take place because Plaintiffs' counsel "was tied up in an appearance in the Bankruptcy 22 Court." (Dkt. No. 77.) The Court denied Plaintiffs' previous motion for a protective order and 23 directed Tony Chen to appear in person in conjunction with his appearance at the April 5, 24 2012 settlement conference before Judge Zimmerman. (Dkt. No. 79.) Plaintiffs now assert that Tony Chen is operating a restaurant in Iowa and is unable to travel to California for either 25 26 the deposition or the settlement conference until after April 17, 2012 as he has sole 27 responsibility for his restaurant while his business partner travels in China. (Dkt. No. 86.)

28

Northern District of California

United States District Court

Every plaintiff in this case must be present in person at the settlement conference. (Dkt. No. 74.) Tony Chen and all the plaintiffs in this case have had notice of this settlement conference and their obligation to attend in person since February 17, 2012. (Dkt. No. 74.) Plaintiffs represent that Mr. Chen's business partner has been in China on vacation since approximately March 17, 2012, and he surely had notice of a month-long vacation to another country prior to departure. It is untimely and unreasonable for Plaintiffs to advise of a conflict with the settlement conference with two days' notice. Plaintiffs' motion is therefore DENIED. Tony Chen must appear in person for the deposition scheduled on April 4, 2012 and the settlement conference scheduled on April 5, 2012. All other plaintiffs shall also appear in person for this settlement conference.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 4, 2012

Jacqueline S. Caly

JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Northern District of California