

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIAFEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,  
Plaintiff,

No. C 10-00022 WHA

v.

INC21.COM CORPORATION, *et al.*,  
Defendants.**ORDER PARTIALLY  
RESOLVING CLAIMS  
OF EXEMPTION**

Defendant John Yu Lin claims a variety of state law exemptions from execution of the judgment entered against him in this action (Dkt. No. 205). A judgment debtor has the burden of claiming and proving entitlement to any exemption under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. The debtor's claim of an exemption prevents the government from selling or otherwise disposing of the property until a court determines whether the debtor is entitled to the claimed exemption. 28 U.S.C. 3014. The exemptions claimed by defendant John Lin fall into three categories.


*First*, John Lin claims a \$75,000 homestead exemption for his residential property pursuant to Section 704.730 of the California Code of Civil Procedure (Dkt. No. 205 at 4). Section 704.730 "gives debtors the right to a specified amount of money after a forced sale; it does not give debtors an absolute right to retain the homestead itself." *In re Bernard*, 40 F.3d 1028, 1030 n.2 (9th Cir. 1994) (internal quotations omitted). Unless certain exceptions apply, that amount of money is \$75,000. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 704.730(a)(1). The FTC "does not oppose John Lin's claimed \$75,000 homestead interest in 1137 Webster, and requests that the Court determine such interest without a hearing so that a sale of the property may proceed" (Dkt. No. 208 at 2). John Lin's claim of a \$75,000 homestead exemption is **GRANTED**.

1           *Second*, John Lin claims the following exemptions related to his personal property:  
2 (1) a \$2,725 motor vehicle exemption; (2) a \$7,175 exemption for jewelry and heirlooms;  
3 (3) a \$7,175 exemption for personal property used in a trade or business, and (4) a \$26,400  
4 exemption for other personal property including furnishings, appliances, and apparel  
5 (Dkt. No. 205 at 4). The FTC “does not understand” these four claims because the FTC has not  
6 applied for a writ of execution as to any such personal property (Dkt. No. 208 at 3). Because no  
7 writ of execution has been sought or issued as to John Lin’s personal property, these four  
8 exemption claims are **DENIED AS MOOT**. They may, however, be renewed if and when the FTC  
9 obtains a writ of execution as to such property.

10           *Third*, John Lin claims a private retirement account exception in the amount of \$32,958.89  
11 (Dkt. No. 205 at 5). The FTC states that this claimed exemption may be relevant to the asset  
12 freeze and asset disposition ordered in this action (Dkt. No. 208 at 3). “All amounts held,  
13 controlled, or in process of distribution by a private retirement plan, for the payment of benefits as  
14 an annuity, pension, retirement allowance, disability payment, or death benefit from a private  
15 retirement plan are exempt.” Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 704.115(b). The statute defines three  
16 categories of retirement accounts subject to this exemption, and the Ninth Circuit has provided  
17 guidance on determining whether a particular account qualifies as a retirement account under the  
18 statute. *See Bloom v. Robinson*, 839 F.2d 1376 (9th Cir. 1988). John Lin has not identified or  
19 described the accounts as to which he claims this exemption. As such, the present record is  
20 insufficient for determining whether he is entitled to the claimed retirement account exemption.  
21 By **MAY 5, 2011**, John Lin shall file a sworn declaration identifying and describing each account  
22 to which he claims this exemption applies. Any relevant supporting documentation must be  
23 appended. The FTC then may file a response by **MAY 12, 2011**. After reviewing those  
24 submissions, a decision will be made as to whether further briefing or a hearing will be necessary.

25           **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26  
27 Dated: April 21, 2011.

28   
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE