1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Robert D. Fram (Bar No. 126750) Email: rfram@cov.com Sonya D. Winner (Bar No. 200348) Email: swinner@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP One Front Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 591-6000 Facsimile: (415) 591-6000 Andrew C. Byrnes (Bar No. 191516) Email: abyrnes@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP 333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 700 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 678-1800 Facsimile: (650) 678-1600 Attorneys for Defendants TREND MICRO INCORPORATED; TREND MICRO INCORPORATED; and TREND MICRO INCORPORATED	Michael A. Ladra (Bar No. 64307) Email: mladra@wsgr.com Stefani E. Shanberg (Bar No. 206717) Email: sshanberg@wsgr.com Robin L. Brewer (Bar No. 253686) Email: rbrewer@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 493-6811 Attorneys for Plaintiff FORTINET, INC.
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
16 17	FORTINET, INC., a Delaware corporation,	CASE NO.: CV 10-0048 MMC
18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED MOTION FOR
19	v.	ORDER (1) VACATING AND RESETTING CASE
20	TREND MICRO INCORPORATED, et al.,	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES, AND (2) CONTINUING LOCAL PATENT
21	Defendants.	RULE DISCLOSURES; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
22		CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE TO MAY 28, 2010
23		CONTERENCE TO WAT 20, 2010
24		
25	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff Fortinet, Inc. ("Fortinet") and	
26	defendants Trend Micro Incorporated, a California corporation, Trend Micro Incorporated, a	
27		
28	STIPULATED MOTION FOR ORDER (1) VACATING AND RESETTING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES, AND (2) CONTINUING LOCAL PATENT RULE DISCLOSURES; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CIVIL CASE NO.: CV 10-0048 MMC	-1- Dockets.Justia.com

1	Japanese Corporation, and Trend Micro Incorporated, a Taiwanese corporation (collectively,		
2	"Trend Micro"), by and through their counsel of record, as follows:		
3	WHEREAS, on January 6, 2010, Fortinet filed a Complaint for Declaratory Judgment		
4	("Complaint") against Trend Micro;		
5	WHEREAS, on January 22, 2010, Fortinet and Trend Micro filed a Joint Stipulation		
6	extending until March 2, 2010, Trend Micro's time to respond to the Complaint;		
7	WHEREAS, on March 2, 2010, Trend Micro filed a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint		
8	pursuant to Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Motion to Dismiss");		
9	WHEREAS, the Motion to Dismiss is set for hearing on April 9, 2010;		
10	WHEREAS, on January 6, 2010, an Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference		
11	and ADR Deadlines was issued by Magistrate Judge LaPorte in this case;		
12	WHEREAS, on February 9, 2010, the case was reassigned to this Court. In its February		
13	9, 2010 Related Case Order, this Court vacated the previously scheduled Initial Case		
14	Management Conference, but stated that any deadlines set by the ADR Local Rules remain in		
15	effect;		
16	WHEREAS, on February 22, 2010, this Court issued a Case Management Conference		
17	Order, setting the initial Case Management Conference in this action for April 16, 2010.		
18	WHEREAS, pursuant to this Court's February 9, 2010 Related Case Order stating that		
19	any deadlines set by the ADR Local Rules remain in effect, March 23, 2010 is the last day for		
20	the parties to meet and confer regarding the ADR process selection, to file an ADR Certification,		
21	and to file either a Stipulation to the ADR Process or a Notice of Need for an ADR Phone		
22	Conference;		
23	WHEREAS, the holding of the initial Case Management Conference will trigger		
24	deadlines under the Local Patent Rules for the parties to begin making their respective Patent		
25	Disclosures;		
26			
27			
28	STIPULATED MOTION FOR ORDER (1) VACATING AND -2- RESETTING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES, AND (2) CONTINUING LOCAL PATENT RULE DISCLOSURES; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CIVIL CASE NO.: CV 10-0048 MMC		

WHEREAS, the Parties have met and conferred and jointly believe that the deadlines in
 this case should be modified to allow a ruling on Trend Micro's Motion to Dismiss prior to
 proceeding and, therefore, request the Court:

 vacate the initial Case Management Conference currently scheduled for April 16,

5 2010, and reset the initial Case Management Conference after the Court rules on Trend Micro's
6 Motion to Dismiss;

7 (2) vacate the March 23, 2010 ADR deadlines and reset them, along with the Rule
8 26-related deadlines, in an Order Resetting the Initial Case Management Conference issued after
9 the Court rules on Trend Micro's Motion to Dismiss; and

(3) order that the parties' obligations to begin making their respective Patent
 Disclosures under the Local Patent Rules are continued until after the Court holds the Initial
 Case Management Conference, as contemplated above and in the Patent Local Rules.

WHEREAS, there is no schedule set in this case beyond the dates set for the ADR
deadlines, the initial Case Management Conference, and the hearing on Trend Micro's Motion to
Dismiss, therefore, this Stipulated Motion will not impact the schedule in this case after those
dates;

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties, by and through their undersigned counsel and pursuantto the above stipulations, hereby jointly move the Court for an Order:

(1) vacating the ADR deadlines currently scheduled for March 23, 2010, and the
initial Case Management Conference currently scheduled for April 16, 2010;

(2) resetting the ADR deadlines, the initial Case Management Conference, and the
deadlines for the parties to meet and confer regarding initial disclosures and discovery plan, to
file a Rule 26(f) Report, to complete initial disclosures, and to file a Joint Case Management
Statement, in an Order that the Court will issue if the Court denies Trend Micro's Motion to
Dismiss; and

26

- 27
- 28 STIPULATED MOTION FOR ORDER (1) VACATING AND RESETTING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES, AND (2) CONTINUING LOCAL PATENT RULE DISCLOSURES; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CIVIL CASE NO.: CV 10-0048 MMC

-3-

1	(3) providing that the parties' obligations to begin making their respective Patent		
2	Disclosures under the Local Patent Rules shall begin after the Court holds the Initial Case		
3	Management Conference, as contemplated above and in the Patent Local Rules.		
4			
5	Dated: March 15, 2010	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation	
6			
7		By: <u>/s/ Stefani E. Shanberg</u> Stefani E. Shanberg	
8		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9		FORTINET, INC.	
10			
11	Dated: March 15, 2010	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP	
12	Dated. March 15, 2010	COVINGTON & BORLING LEF	
13		By: /s/ Andrew C. Byrnes	
14		Andrew C. Byrnes	
15		Attorneys for Defendants TREND MICRO INCORPORATED;	
16		TREND MICRO INCORPORATED; and TREND MICRO INCORPORATED	
17			
18			
19	PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' STIPULATED MOTION, IT IS SO ORDERED, with the exception that the Case Management Conference is CONTINUED to May 28, 2010.		
20	Dated: March 15, 2010		
21	March 18, 2010	1.	
22		Maline M. Chesney	
23		The Hororable Maxine M. Chesney UNICED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	STIPULATED MOTION FOR ORDER (1) VACATING AND RESETTING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES, AND (2) CONTINUING LOCAL PATENT RULE DISCLOSURES; AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CIVIL CASE NO.: CV 10-0048 MMC	-4-	