

[Counsel listed on signature page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

This Documents Relates To:
Best Buy v. AU Optronics Corp. et al,
Case No. 10-CV-4572,

Best Buy v. Toshiba Corp. et al
Case No. 12-CV-4114

*Costco Wholesale Corporation v. AU
Optronics Corp. et al.*, Case No. 11-CV-
00058

*Electrograph Systems, Inc. v. Epson
Imaging Devices Corp. et al.*, Case No. 10-
CV-00117

*Eastman Kodak Company v. Epson
Imaging Devices Corp. et al.*, Case No. 10-
CV-5452

*Motorola Mobility Inc. v. AU Optronics
Corp. et al.*, Case No. 09-CV-5840

*Target Corp, et. al., v. AU Optronics Corp.
et al.*,
Case No. 10-CV-4945

MASTER FILE NO. 07-m-1827 SI

CASE NO. 07-md-1827-SI
CLASS ACTION
CASE NO. 10-CV-4572
CASE NO. 11-CV-00058
CASE NO. 10-CV-00117
CASE NO. 10-CV-5452
CASE NO. 09-CV-5840
CASE NO. 10-CV-4945
CASE NO. 12-CV-4114

**AMENDED STIPULATION AND
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER RE REVISED PRE-
TRIAL SCHEDULE**

MASTER FILE NO. 07-M-1827 SI

AMENDED STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE REVISED PRE-TRIAL SCHEDULE

The undersigned liaison counsel, on behalf of all plaintiffs and defendants in the above-referenced "Track 1B" action (the "Parties"), hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, the Case Management Conference was held on March 15, 2013;

WHEREAS, the Parties submitted additional briefing to the Court on the pre-trial schedule after the Case Management Conference;

WHEREAS, the Court issued an Order on April 2, 2013, regarding pre-trial exchanges; and

WHEREAS, the counsel for the Best Buy entities and the Toshiba entities agreed that good cause existed to modify the summary judgment schedule;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the undersigned counsel on behalf of the Parties that the following pre-trial deadlines should be entered as an Order by this Court:

Action	Plaintiffs' Disclosure	Defendants' Disclosure	Pl. Suppl. Exchange	Def. Suppl. Exchange	Filing date	Hearing
Witness lists	Apr 30	May 7	May 14	May 21	June 25	July 9
Exhibit lists	May 21	June 4	June 14	June 21	June 25	July 9
Deposition designations	May 21	June 4	June 14	June 21	June 25	July 9
Written discovery designations	May 21	June 4	June 14	June 21	June 25	July 9

Action	1 st Exchange	2 nd Exchange	3 rd Exchange	Filing date	Hearing
Joint Set of Jury Instructions	May 28	June 10	June 18	June 25	
Preliminary Statement & Instructions	May 28	June 10	June 18	June 25	
Joint Pretrial Conference Statement	June 10	June 18		June 25	
Jury Questionnaire	June 10	June 18	June 21	June 25	

Verdict Forms	June 18	June 21		June 25	
In Limines: Motions				June 18	July 9
Oppositions				July 2	
Daubert Motions Motions				May 3	June 12
Oppositions				May 17	
Replies				May 24	

In addition, the parties to the *Best Buy v. Toshiba Corp. et al*, Case No. 12-CV-4114, case stipulate that the briefing schedule for summary judgment motions¹ shall be as follows:

MSJ Filing deadline: June 5

Oppositions: June 21

Replies: June 28

Hearing: July 9

ATTESTATION: Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the signatories.

Dated: June 3, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Jerome A. Murphy

Jason C. Murray (CA Bar No. 169806)
Janet I. Levine (CA Bar No. 94255)
Joshua C. Stokes (CA Bar No. 220214)
CROWELL & MORING LLP
515 South Flower St., 40th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: 213-622-4750
Facsimile: 213-622-2690
Email: jmurray@crowell.com
jlevine@crowell.com
jstokes@crowell.com

¹ The parties set the deadline for filing Daubert Motions for May 14, 2013. The parties have not filed any Daubert motions beyond those filed by Toshiba in Track 1B, and therefore do not set forth a Daubert schedule as that deadline has passed. To the extent that any Daubert issues arise in the course of ongoing discovery between Toshiba and Best Buy, the parties will inform the Court. Best Buy will oppose any effort by Toshiba to file untimely Daubert challenges.

Jeffrey H. Howard (*pro hac vice*)
Jerome A. Murphy (*pro hac vice*)
CROWELL & MORING LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: 202-624-2500
Facsimile: 202-628-5116
Email: jhoward@crowell.com
jmurphy@crowell.com

Kenneth L. Adams (*pro hac vice*)
R. Bruce Holcomb (*pro hac vice*)
Christopher T. Leonardo (*pro hac vice*)
ADAMS HOLCOMB LLP
1875 Eye Street NW
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: 202-580-8822
Facsimile: 202-580-8821
Email: adams@adamsholcomb.com
holcomb@adamsholcomb.com
leonardo@adamsholcomb.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Target Corporation;
Sears, Roebuck & Co; Kmart Corp.;
RadioShack Corp., Old Comp, Inc.; Newegg,
Inc., Good Guys Inc.; and Motorola
Mobility, Inc.; and Liaison Counsel for
Direct Action Plaintiffs*

By: /s/ Christopher M. Curran

Christopher M. Curran (*pro hac vice*)
Email: ccurran@whitecase.com
J. Mark Gidley (*pro hac vice*)
Email: mgidley@whitecase.com
Martin M. Toto (*pro hac vice*)
Email: mtoto@whitecase.com
John H. Chung (*pro hac vice*)
Email: jchung@whitecase.com

WHITE & CASE LLP
1155 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
Telephone: (212) 819-8200
Facsimile: (212) 354-8113

*Attorneys for Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba
Mobile Display Co., Ltd., Toshiba America
Electronic Components, Inc. and Toshiba
America Information Systems, Inc. and on
behalf of all Track 1B Defendant*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 6/11, 2013



Susan Illston, United States District Judge

DCACTIVE-22926180.1