

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GIL CROSTHWAITE, et al.,	)	Case No. 10-151 SC
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	<u>ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE</u>
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
PAUL T. BECK CONTRACTORS, INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	

---

On February 14, 2011, the Court ordered Defendant Paul T. Beck Contractors, Inc. ("Defendant") to show cause for its failure to inform Plaintiffs Gil Crosthwaite, et al. ("Plaintiffs") of the status of the receivership in violation of a November 9, 2010 court order. ECF No. 21. At the show-cause hearing, Plaintiffs argued that limited discovery was required to determine Defendant's current assets and which assets had been transferred to creditors by the receiver. The Court instructed Plaintiffs to submit a proposed discovery order. Plaintiffs filed such an order on March 9, 2011; the Court granted it on March 16, 2011, directing Defendant to provide responses to interrogatories "forthwith." ECF Nos. 28, 29 ("March 16, 2011 Order").

On April 1, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a status update in which they allege Defendant had failed to respond to the interrogatories.

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

1 ECF No. 30. Defendant has not responded to this status update.  
2 By failing not once, but twice, to comply with this Court's  
3 orders, Defendant and its counsel have wasted the time and  
4 resources of the Court and delayed the prosecution of this action.  
5 Accordingly, the Court ORDERS Defendant's counsel to show cause in  
6 writing no later than April 22, 2011 why Defendant and/or  
7 Defendant's counsel should not be sanctioned for failure to comply  
8 with the Court's March 16, 2010 Order. The show-cause hearing is  
9 scheduled for April 29, 2011, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 1, on the  
10 17th floor, U.S. Courthouse, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco,  
11 California 94102.

12 FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS ORDER WILL BE DEEMED SUFFICIENT  
13 GROUNDS FOR SANCTIONS.

14  
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16  
17 Dated: April 13, 2011

18   
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28