Perkins v. Olson et al

1

2

3

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	RODERICK PERKINS, No C-10-0156-VRW (PR)
12	Plaintiff,
13	v ORDER OF DISMISSAL
14	SAN FRANCISCO POLICE OFFICERS OLSON, LUCAS AND KENNEY,
15	OLSON, LOCAS AND RENNEI,
16	Defendant(s).
17	

Plaintiff has filed a pro se complaint under 42 USC § 1983 against three San Francisco Police Officers claiming they used excessive force against him during his arrest. Doc #1. reviewing the complaint, on July 28, 2010, the court ordered the United States Marshal to serve defendants. Doc #5. On August 3, 2010, the order of service sent to plaintiff by the clerk was returned as undeliverable. Doc #6.

More than two months have elapsed since the mail sent to plaintiff was returned as undeliverable and plaintiff has failed to provide the court with a current mailing address. Because more than sixty days have passed since the court's mail to plaintiff was

Doc. 14

returned, the case is DISMISSED without prejudice pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-11(b). The Clerk shall close the file and terminate all pending motions as moot. Much IT IS SO ORDERED. VAUGHN R WALKER United States District Chief Judge G:\PRO-SE\VRW\CR.10\Perkins-10-156-order of dismissal-clr-3-11-b.wpd