


# EXHIBIT C



HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC

2224 1ST AVENUE NORTH  
BIRMINGHAM, AL . 35203

P.O. BOX 11310  
BIRMINGHAM, AL . 35202

PHONE: (205) 326-3336  
(800) 241-9779

FAX: (205) 326-3332

WWW.HGDLAWFIRM.COM

Brian D. Hancock

Direct Dial: (205)327-9112

E-mail: [bdhancock@hgdlawfirm.com](mailto:bdhancock@hgdlawfirm.com)

August 30, 2010

**VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL**

I. Neel Chatterjee, Esq.  
Thomas J. Gray, Esq.  
Julio C. Avalos, Esq.  
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP  
1000 Marsh Road  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Re: *Daniel M. Miller v. Facebook, Inc. and Yao Wei Yeo*  
In the United States District Court for the Northern District of California  
Civil Action No.: 10-CV-00264-WHA

Dear Counsel:

On August 26, 2010, undersigned counsel notified counsel for Facebook that Facebook's responses to the Plaintiff's First Consolidated Discovery Requests in the above-styled action were past due. In response, Facebook stated that its responses were served on Plaintiff's counsel on August 23<sup>rd</sup>. As of August 26<sup>th</sup>, I had not received these responses, and Mr. Avalos was kind enough to forward a copy to me via e-mail on that same date. From a review of Facebook's "responses" to the Plaintiff's First Consolidated Discovery Requests, it is apparent that Facebook has not provided a single substantive response, or any factual information whatsoever, in response to the Plaintiff's requests. Facebook's "responses" are comprised solely of objections.

Therefore, I would ask that you have your client provide substantive, factual information in response to these requests within the next fourteen (14) days so as to avoid having to involve the Court. This correspondence is intended to comply with the "meet and confer" requirements set forth in Rule 37(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Civil Local Rule 37-1(a) for the Northern District of California. If you contend that this correspondence fails to comply with the aforementioned rules, please provide the basis for your contention and whatever legal authority you are aware of that supports your contention. If you desire a telephonic "meet and confer", please provide a date and time within the next ten (10) days where counsel for Facebook is available.

Letter to Counsel for Facebook  
Re: *Miller v. Facebook & Yeo*  
August 30, 2010  
Page -2-

Furthermore, if it is Facebook's position that it will not respond to certain discovery requests by Plaintiff until the entry of a Protective Order by the Court, I would ask that Facebook please specify which requests Facebook is refusing to respond to on such grounds, and that Facebook submit a proposed Protective Order to undersigned counsel for review. If you feel that a telephonic conference between counsel for the parties is necessary with regard to a proposed Protective Order, please provide a date and time within the next ten (10) days where counsel for Facebook is available.

Should you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

  
Brian D. Hancock