

# **EXHIBIT B**

## Dalton, Amy

---

**From:** Avalos, Julio  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2010 4:15 PM  
**To:** 'Brian Hancock'  
**Cc:** Gray, Thomas; Metanat, Morvarid; Dalton, Amy; Kim, Elizabeth  
**Subject:** RE: Miller v. Facebook, Inc. & Yeo/Past Due Discovery Responses  
**Attachments:** Comparison Protective Order.pdf; 261003008(4)\_Miller Protective Order DRAFT.DOC

Brian,

Please find attached Facebook's proposed edits to the protective order. We had an issue with respect to tracking changes in the document. In order to allow you to pinpoint our changes, we went ahead and ran a comparison between our proposal and the original court document. This comparison is also attached hereto. The original document is on the left column, with our proposed draft on the right. Lines highlighted in red have been redacted; material underlined in blue has been added.

If you have any proposed edits of your own, please go ahead and make them in the .doc file, with track changes on.

Thanks,

Julio



**ORRICK**

**JULIO AVALOS**

*attorney at law*

**ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP**

1000 MARSH ROAD  
MENLO PARK, CA 94025-1015

tel 650.289.7184

[javalos@orrick.com](mailto:javalos@orrick.com)

[www.orrick.com](http://www.orrick.com)

---

**From:** Brian Hancock [mailto:bdhancock@hgdllawfirm.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2010 3:11 PM  
**To:** Avalos, Julio  
**Cc:** Gray, Thomas; Dalton, Amy  
**Subject:** RE: Miller v. Facebook, Inc. & Yeo/Past Due Discovery Responses

Julio,

Thank you for this information. As described in your e-mail below, I have no problem with the confidentiality distinctions. I look forward to receiving the approved mark-ups from your client.