Miller v. Facebook<sub>▮</sub> Inc. et al 1 I. NEEL CHATTERJEE (STATE BAR NO. 173985) nchatterjee@orrick.com 2 THOMÅS J. GRAY (STATE BAR NO. 191411) tgray@orrick.com 3 THÉRESA A. SUTTON (STATE BAR NO. 211857) tsutton@orrick.com 4 JULIO C. AVALOS (STATE BAR NO. 255350) javalos@orrick.com 5 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 6 Telephone: 650-614-7400 7 Facsimile: 650-614-7401 8 Attorneys for Defendant FACEBOOK, INC. 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 13 14 DANIEL M. MILLER, Case No. 3:10-CV-00264 (WHA) Plaintiff, STIPULATED REQUEST FOR 15 **ORDER CHANGING TIME PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-2** 16 v. FACEBOOK, INC. and YAO WEI YEO, 17 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

26

27

28

STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING TIME PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-2 3:10-CV-00264 (WHA) Doc. 9

Defendant Facebook, Inc. and Plaintiff Daniel M. Miller, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate to change time for the following reasons:

## A. Reasons For the Enlargement of Time

The parties have had numerous discovery related discussions and disputes and the parties are in the process of resolving those disputes. On December 17, 2010, the parties exchanged substantive discovery in response to the outstanding discovery requests by answering each other's respective pending interrogatories and producing documents responsive to the parties' respective requests for production, if any, or otherwise objecting to the requests. The parties in good faith continue to engage in exchanging substantive discovery. On December 21, 2010, the parties met and conferred regarding the current Case Management Order (Dkt. No. 55) and discovery schedule and agreed that the parties require additional time to conclude discovery and prepare their respective cases. Further, the Court's Case Management Order appears to contain an error as to the scheduled date for designation of expert testimony and disclosure of full expert reports.

## **B.** Previous Time Modifications

There have been no other time modifications in this case.

## C. Effect The Requested Time Modification Will Have On Schedule

The requested modifications are as follows:

Current Schedule	Proposed Schedule
The non-expert discovery cut-off date shall	March 28, 2011
be <b>January 31, 2011</b> .	
The last date for designation of expert	*March 28, 2011
testimony and disclosure of full expert	
reports under FRCP 26(a)(2) as to any	Counsel believe there is a typo in the
issue on which a party has the burden of	current case management order and the
proof ("opening reports") shall be <b>JULY 31</b> ,	date is meant to be January 31, 2011 and
2011.	not July 31, 2011
Within FOURTEEN CALENDAR DAYS	Same
of said deadline, all other parties must	
disclose any expert reports on the same	
issue ("opposition reports").	
Within SEVEN CALENDAR DAYS	Same
thereafter, the party with the burden of	
proof must disclose any reply reports	
rebutting specific material in opposition	
The cutoff for all expert discovery shall be	Same
FOURTEEN CALENDAR DAYS after	

1	the deadline for reply reports	a tha Cama	
2	At least <b>28 CALENDAR DAYS</b> befor due date for opening reports, each party		
3	shall serve a list of issues on which it w	ill	
3	offer any expert testimony in its case-in chief (including from non-retained expe		
4	The last date to file dispositive motion	ns   April 28, 2011	
5	shall be <b>MARCH 3, 2011</b> . No dispos motions shall be heard more than 35 da		
6	after this deadline The FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERE	NCE June 13, 2011	
	shall be at <b>2:00 P.M.</b> on <b>APRIL 18, 20</b>	11.	
7	A JURY TRIAL shall begin on APRI 25, 2011, at 7:30 A.M., in Courtroom 9		
8	19th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, S	an	
9	Francisco, California, 94102.		
10			
11	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
12	Dated: December 30, 2010	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	
13		/-/ TI A. C. u. / /	
14	-	/s/ Theresa A. Sutton /s/ Theresa A. Sutton	
15		Attorneys for Defendant	
		FACEBOOK, INC.	
16			
17	Dated: December 30, 2010	HENINGER GARRISON DAVIS, LLC	
18			
19	<u>-</u>	/s/ Brian D. Hancock /s/	
		Brian D. Hancock Attorneys for Plaintiff	
20		DANIEL MILLER	
21			
22			
23	Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, §X(B), I attest under penalty of		
24	perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from its signatory.		
25			
26	Dated: January 9, 2007	Respectfully submitted,	
27	_	/s/ Theresa A. Sutton /s/	
		Theresa A. Sutton	
28			
		STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING	

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION,	IT IS SO ORDERED.
2		
3	Dated: January, 2011	
4		
5		Honorable William Alsup United States District Judge
6		Officed States District Judge
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>			
2	I hereby certify that this document(s) filed through the ECF system will be sent			
3	electronically to the registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF and paper copies will be sent to those indicated as non registered participants on December 30,			
4	2010.			
5	Dated: December 30, 2010.	Respectfully submitted,		
6		/s/ Theresa A. Sutton /s/		
7		Theresa A. Sutton		
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				

28