Arden v. Kastell et al Doc. 147 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 12 GARY ARDEN. Case No. 10-cy-00436 NC 13 Plaintiff, PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTIONS 14 Jury Trial: October 14, 2014 v. 15 FRANK KASTELL. 16 Defendant. 17 18 I. Introduction 19 Ladies and gentlemen: You are now the jury in this case. It is my duty to instruct you on 20 the law. 21 These instructions are preliminary instructions to help you understand the principles that 22 apply to civil trials and to help you understand the evidence as you listen to it. You will be 23 allowed to keep this set throughout the trial to which to refer. This set of instructions is not to be 24 taken home and must remain in the jury room when you leave in the evenings. At the end of the 25 trial, I will give you a final set of instructions. It is the final set of instructions which will govern 26 your deliberations. 27 You must not infer from these instructions or from anything I may say or do as indicating 28 that I have an opinion regarding the evidence or what your verdict should be.

Case No. 10-cv-00436 NC

INSTRUCTIONS

It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts you will apply the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you whether you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices, or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you. You will recall that you took an oath to do so.

In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and ignore others; they are all important.

## II. Summary of the Claims and Defenses in this Case

Gary Arden brings his claim under the federal statute, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which provides that any person or persons who, under color of law, deprives another of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States shall be liable to the injured party.

To help you follow the evidence, I will give you a brief summary of the positions of the parties:

This case is about two men who worked at San Francisco Airport. In February 2009, Gary Arden was a Manager for Smarte Carte, the company that runs the automated cart rentals at the airport, and Frank Kastell was a detective with the Airport San Mateo County Sheriff's Office. Kastell investigated Arden for embezzlement from Smarte Carte. Arden alleges Kastell fabricated evidence that he recorded in a police report and delivered to the San Mateo County District Attorney, and as a result, in April 2009, Arden was prosecuted for embezzlement. Arden was not convicted, but he was prosecuted until November 2009, when the case was dismissed by the District Attorney's Office. Arden alleges Kastell violated his civil rights by fabricating evidence of Arden's guilt, and that, as a result, Arden suffered economic damages and non-economic damages in the form of humiliation, emotional suffering, and distress. Kastell denies that he fabricated evidence, contends that his report was truthful, and disputes Arden's claim for damages.

## III. Burden of Proof

When a party has the burden of proof on any claim or affirmative defense by a

preponderance of the evidence, it means you must be persuaded by the evidence that the claim or 1 2 affirmative defense is more probably true than not true. You should base your decision on all of the evidence, regardless of which party presented 3 4 it. 5 IV. **Evidence** Evidence You May Consider 6 1. 7 The evidence you are to consider in deciding what the facts are consists of: (1) the sworn testimony of any witness; 8 9 (2) the exhibits which are received into evidence; and 10 (3) any facts to which the lawyers have agreed. 2. Things You May Not Consider 11 In reaching your verdict, you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received into 12 13 evidence. Certain things are not evidence, and you may not consider them in deciding what the 14 facts are. I will list them for you: 15 (1) Arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not witnesses. What they have said in their opening statements, closing arguments, and at other times 16 17 is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. If the facts as you remember 18 them differ from the way the lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls. 19 (2) Questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty to their 20 clients to object when they believe a question is improper under the rules of evidence. You 21 should not be influenced by the objection or by the court's ruling on it. 22 (3) Testimony that has been excluded or stricken, or that you have been instructed to 23 disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered. In addition sometimes testimony and 24 exhibits are received only for a limited purpose; when I give a limiting instruction, you must 25 follow it. (4) Anything you may have seen or heard when the court was not in session is not 26 27 evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at the trial.

# 3. <u>Types of Evidence</u>

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is proof of one or more facts from which you could find another fact. You should consider both kinds of evidence. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

## 4. <u>Evidence for a Limited Purpose</u>

Some evidence may be admitted for a limited purpose only.

When I instruct you that an item of evidence has been admitted for a limited purpose, you must consider it only for that limited purpose and for no other.

## 5. The Court's Ruling on Objections

There are rules of evidence that control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence and a lawyer on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules of evidence, that lawyer may object. If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered, and the exhibit cannot be received. Whenever I sustain an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not guess what the answer might have been.

Sometimes I may order that evidence be stricken from the record and that you disregard or ignore the evidence. That means that when you are deciding the case, you must not consider the evidence that I told you to disregard.

#### V. Witnesses

#### 1. Evaluation of Witness Testimony

In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it. Proof of a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it.

In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account:

(1) the opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified to;

28

communicating with your fellow jurors until I give you the case for deliberation, and it

applies to communicating with everyone else including your family members, your employer, the media or press, and the people involved in the trial, although you may notify your family and your employer that you have been seated as a juror in the case. But, if you are asked or approached in any way about your jury service or anything about this case, you must respond that you have been ordered not to discuss the matter and to report the contact to the court.

Because you will receive all the evidence and legal instruction you properly may consider to return a verdict: do not read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case or anything to do with it; do not do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, searching the Internet or using other reference materials; and do not make any investigation or in any other way try to learn about the case on your own.

The law requires these restrictions to ensure the parties have a fair trial based on the same evidence that each party has had an opportunity to address. A juror who violates these restrictions jeopardizes the fairness of these proceedings, and a mistrial could result that would require the entire trial process to start over. If any juror is exposed to any outside information, please notify the court immediately.

### 2. <u>Taking Notes</u>

During deliberations, you will have to make your decision based on what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a transcript of the trial. I urge you to pay close attention to the testimony as it is given.

If at any time you cannot hear or see the testimony, evidence, questions or arguments, let me know so that I can correct the problem.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember the evidence. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not let note-taking distract you. When you leave, your notes should be left in the jury room. No one will read your notes. They will be destroyed at the conclusion of the case.

Whether or not you take notes, you should rely on your own memory of the evidence.

Notes are only to assist your memory. You should not be overly influenced by your notes or

those of your fellow jurors.

## 3. Questions to Witnesses

You will be allowed to propose written questions to witnesses after the lawyers have completed their questioning of each witness. You may propose questions in order to clarify the testimony, but you are not to express any opinion about the testimony or argue with a witness. If you propose any questions, remember that your role is that of a neutral fact finder, not an advocate.

Before I excuse each witness, I will offer you the opportunity to write out a question on a form provided by the court. Do not sign the question. I will review the question with the attorneys to determine if it is legally proper.

There are some proposed questions that I will not permit, or will not ask in the wording submitted by the juror. This might happen either due to the rules of evidence or other legal reasons, or because the question is expected to be answered later in the case. If I do not ask a proposed question, or if I rephrase it, do not speculate as to the reasons. Do not give undue weight to questions you or other jurors propose. You should evaluate the answers to those questions in the same manner you evaluate all of the other evidence.

By giving you the opportunity to propose questions, I am not requesting or suggesting that you do so. It will often be the case that a lawyer has not asked a question because it is legally objectionable or because a later witness may be addressing that subject.

#### 4. Bench Conferences and Recesses

From time to time during the trial, it may become necessary for me to talk with the attorneys out of the hearing of the jury, either by having a conference at the bench when the jury is present in the courtroom, or by calling a recess. Please understand that while you are waiting, we are working. The purpose of these conferences is not to keep relevant information from you, but to decide how certain evidence is to be treated under the rules of evidence and to avoid confusion and error.

Of course, we will do what we can to keep the number and length of these conferences to a minimum. I may not always grant an attorney's request for a conference. Do not consider my

granting or denying a request for a conference as any indication of my opinion of the case or of what your verdict should be. VII. **Trial** Trials proceed in the following way: First, each side may make an opening statement. An opening statement is not evidence. It is simply an outline to help you understand what that party expects the evidence will show. A party is not required to make an opening statement. Gary Arden will then present evidence, and counsel for Frank Kastell may cross-examine. Then Frank Kastell may present evidence, and counsel for Gary Arden may cross-examine. After the evidence has been presented, I will instruct you on the law that applies to the case and the attorneys will make closing arguments. After that, you will go to the jury room to deliberate on your verdict. IT IS SO ORDERED. Date: October 10, 2014 Nathanael M. Cousins United States Magistrate Judge