24

25

26

27

28

1

```
ROBERTS & KEHAGIARAS LLP
    ANDREW D. KEHAGIARAS, ESQ. (State Bar No. 207767)
    adk@tradeandcargo.com
    GEORGE P. HASSAPIS, ESQ. (State Bar No. 147072)
 3
    gph@tradeandcargo.com
    One World Trade Center, Suite #2350
    Long Beach, CA 90831
    Telephone: (310) 642-9800
 5
    Facsimile: (310) 868-2923
 6
    Attorneys for plaintiffs
    TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY COMPANY OF AMERICA and
 7
    TOMOTHERAPY INCORPORATED
 8
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9
        NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
10
    TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY
                                       Case No. C 10-00505 JSW
11
    COMPANY OF AMERICA and
12
    TOMOTHERAPY INCORPORATED,
                                       STIPULATION REGARDING THE
                                       FILING AND BRIEFING OF A MOTION
13
              Plaintiffs,
                                       TO CLARIFY AND ADJUSTMENT OF
                                       THE SCHEDULE FOR THE SUMBISSION
14
                                       OF CROSS-MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY
         VS.
                                       JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER
15
                                       AS MODIFIED
    LEGACY TRANSPORTATION SERVICES,
16
    INC.,
17
            Defendant and Third-
    Party Plaintiff,
18
         VS.
19
    UNITED VAN LINES, LLC and DTI
20
    RIGGING,
21
             Third-Party Defendants
22
```

Pursuant to the stipulation of counsel [Docket #81] and of the Court rescheduling the subsequent order [Docket#82], on May 20, 2011, counsel for Plaintiffs, Travelers Property Casualty Insurance Company of America and Tomotherapy Incorporated appeared before the captioned court for a further status conference. During that conference counsel advised the

Court that confusion had arisen between counsel regarding the
meaning and effect of earlier orders made by the Court in this
action. The Court advised counsel of its willingness to
entertain a motion for clarification with regard to its earlier
orders. This, in turn, would allow the parties to each more
precisely focus their own motion for summary judgment and
maximize the chances that the matter would be fully resolved by
the intended cross motions for summary judgment and without the
need for trial.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

The Court entered an minute order following the Management Conference ordering the parties to confer and ultimately to prepare and file a stipulation relating to the date for the filing and briefing of a motion for clarification; adjusting the existing briefing schedule for the filing and briefing of the cross-motions for summary judgment contemplated by the parties; and setting the matter for another Case Management Conference on a date beyond the proposed argument date for the cross-motions. This would allow the Court to take another look at what issues (if any) remained for resolution after the hearing of the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment and assess what might be the most efficient manner of resolving those remaining issues.

24

25

26

27

The parties have met and conferred as ordered and have stipulated to the following (subject to the Court's alteration of the proposed schedule for its own convenience):

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1.	Plaintiffs	shall	file	e thei	r c	pening	br	ief	seeking
	clarificatio	on of	the m	eaning	and	import	of	the	Court's
	earlier orde	ers on	June	10. 20	11.				

- 2. Defendant, Legacy, will file its "opposition" brief on June 20, 2011.
- 3. Plaintiffs will file their reply brief one week later on June 27, 2011.
- 4. The hearing on the Motion for Clarification (if the oral argument was deemed necessary) would be set for Friday, July 8, 2011 at 9:00 am in Courtroom 11.
- 5. For the purpose of the following dates, it is presumed the Court's decision/order on the Motion for Clarification would be issued on or before July 2011.
- 6. The parties' opening briefs on their cross-motions for summary judgment will be filed on August 1, 2011.
- 7. Briefs in opposition will be due on August 15, 2011.
- 8. Reply briefs will be due on August 22, 2011.
- 9. The cross-motions will be heard on Friday, September 2, 2011 or on another date convenient to this Court.
- The trial date presently on calendar would maintained; however, alteration of those dates will be considered at the subsequently scheduled Case Management Conference proposed for September 16, 2011.

parties also believe that the case can be efficiently resolved through the procedure they have agreed to

1	ĺ
2	I
3	t
4	k
5	(
6	
7	I
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	I
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28

and herein propose to the Cour	rt. The parties have made one
prior request for rescheduling,	, and respectfully request that
the Court consider this stipul	lation to give the parties the
best chance of resolving this	case without the need for an
expensive and time consuming tri	al.
DATED: May 23, 2011 RC	BERTS & KEHAGIARAS LLP
Ву	GEORGE HASAPIS, ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiffs TRAVELERS PROPERTY CASUALTY COMPANY OF AMERICA and TOMOTHERAPY INCORPORATED
DATED: May 23, 2011 HI	GGS, FLETCHER & MACK LLP
Ву	PETER S. DOODY, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff LEGACY TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC *Signed per written authority

## ORDER

2 3

1

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing therefor,

5 6

4

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the following dates in the captioned matter are scheduled as follows:

8

9

7

Opening brief/Clarification motion June 10, 2011

10 11

June 20, 2011 Opposition/Clarification motion

12 13

Reply Brief/Clarification motion June 27, 2011

14

July 08, 2011 at 9:00 AM Clarification Motion hearing date

16

15

<u>August</u> <del>01,</del> 2011 Opening summary judgment briefs 17

18

19 August <del>15</del>, 2011 Opposition Briefs/Summary Judgment

20

21 August <del>22</del>, 2011 Reply Briefs/Summary Judgment

22

September <del>02</del>, 2011 at 9:00 AM Motion hearing date

24

23

25 September 16, 2011 at 1:30 PM Further status conference shall be set, if necessary, within the order on the motion for summary judgment.

26 27

The pretrial and trial dates shall remain unchanged; however,

28

the further status conference that the case <del>if it appears at</del>

8

19

26

09

## Case3:10-cv-00505-JSW Document91 Filed05/24/11 Page6 of 6

1	would benefit from the continuance of the pretrial and trial
2	dates, the Court will consider entering an appropriate order at
3	that time.
4	
5	DATED: May <u>25</u> , 2011
6	Jeffry Swhits
7	HONORABLE JEFREY S. WHITE
8	Judge, United States District Court
9	Court
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	
26	
27	
28	
_	