1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION		
3		de Carrer a Carrer	
4	FLOWBEE INTERNATIONAL, INC., AND FLOWBEE HAIRCUTTER LIMITED	*	
5	PARTNERSHIP,	* CA-C-09-199 *	
6	PLAINTIFFS,	*	
7	VS.	*  * CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS	
8	GOOGLE, INC.,	* SEPTEMBER 23, 2009 * 1:08 P.M.	
9	DEFENDANT.	*	
10	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF INITIA	L PRETRIAL CONFERENCE	
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JANIS GRAHAM JACK		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
14	APPEARANCES:		
T 4	AFFEARANCES.		
15		, GUERRA & CRAFT, L.L.P.	
16		ORTH CARANCAHUA, SUITE 1400 S CHRISTI, TEXAS 78478	
17	FOR THE DEFENDANT: MS. M	ARGARET CARUSO	
18	QUINN	, EMANUEL, URQUHART, OLIVER & S, L.L.P.	
19	555 T	WIN DOLPHIN DRIVE, SUITE 560 OD SHORES, CALIFORNIA 94065	
20	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON PAGE 2)		
21	(ALL DAMANCES CON	TINOLD ON TAGE 2)	
22	COURT RECORDER: MS. V	ELMA GANO	
23			
24	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE:		
25	MOLLY CARTER, P. O. BOX 270203 CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS 78427 (361) 945-2525		

			<u> </u>
1	APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)		
2	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	MR. CARL C. BUTZER	
3	TON THE DEFENDANT.	JACKSON WALKER, L.L.P. 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE	
4		DALLAS, TEXAS 75202	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15 16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
(The proceedings began at 1:08 p.m.)
 1
          (Call to Order of the Court.)
 2
               THE CLERK: Court calls Civil Action C-09-199,
 3
    Flowbee International, et al. versus Google, Inc. May I have
 4
     appearances, please?
 5
              MR. BRIGHT: Good afternoon, Your Honor. David
 6
 7
    Bright here on behalf of the Plaintiffs.
              MS. CARUSO: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Good afternoon.
 9
10
              MS. CARUSO: Margaret Caruso, from Quinn, Emanuel,
     Urguhart, Oliver & Hedges, on behalf of Google, Inc., the
11
12
     Defendant.
              MR. BUTZER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. My name is
13
     Carl Butzer. I'm also here representing Google, Inc. And I'm
14
15
     with Jackson Walker.
               THE COURT: I wanted to call you Mr. Bright. I just
16
17
     couldn't.
18
              MR. BRIGHT: That's very kind of you, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: I did contact your parents, though.
20
               So you have a motion to dismiss --
21
              MS. CARUSO: Yes, Your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: -- right? And I did sign last night
23
     sometime your --
24
              MS. CARUSO: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: -- to appear. And I guess the question
```

```
is whether this arises out of the contract or just a plain old
 1
 2
     trademark infringement, if that's what they're going on.
              MS. CARUSO: I believe -- would you like --
 3
               THE COURT: Mr. Bright?
              MR. BRIGHT: Your Honor, our deadline for responding
 5
    has not expired, and we will be vigorously contesting it. Our
 6
 7
     position --
               THE COURT: I know, but I do all pending motions at
 8
     the initial pretrial conference.
 9
10
              MR. BRIGHT: We intend to file a full-blown response.
     This motion was just filed a week ago. Let me first say, it's
11
12
     going to be our position, and I think it's going to be born out
    by the facts, that this suit does not arise out of that
13
     contract. The contract's not mentioned in the suit. The
14
15
     conduct complained of by Google predates this click-through
16
     contract. There may be conflicting terms in various click-
17
     through contracts.
18
               THE COURT: But you're not relying on the contract
19
     for this. You're relying on trademark infringement.
20
              MR. BRIGHT: That is absolutely correct, Your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: That's what I thought, reading through
22
    your petition. So I'm not sure if the forum selection clause
23
     in the contract controls. But I'll give you a chance to
     respond to his response.
24
```

MS. CARUSO: Okay. Your Honor, I, Google agrees that

25

```
their complaint is based on trademark infringement.
 1
 2
     not arise out of the contract. However, the forum selection
     clause is broader than the scope of the contract alone. It
 3
     goes beyond just the parties' relationship under the contract,
     if you look at the words, to all claims arising from or
 5
     relating to the agreement and --
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. I can -- let me say this.
              MS. CARUSO: Sure.
 8
               THE COURT: I can Google my name on Google.
 9
10
              MS. CARUSO: Yes.
               THE COURT: And come up with lots of stuff. And if I
11
12
     found that you were putting my name with someone else, I would
    have some kind of a cause of action. It might be some kind of
13
     slander cause of action. And that forum selection clause would
14
15
     not apply to me, because I didn't have a contract with you.
16
              MS. CARUSO: But if --
17
               THE COURT: So tell me the difference.
18
              MS. CARUSO: The difference is that Flowbee, the
19
     Plaintiff, does have a contract with us, an advertising
20
     contract. And as one of the terms of that contract, they
21
     agreed that any dispute relating to Google's advertising
22
    programs would be brought in California, in the courts of Santa
23
     Clara County. And there is a case, from the Northern District
24
     of Texas --
```

THE COURT: You're going to rely on the law for this?

25

Don't you hate that? 1 2 MR. BRIGHT: That's terrible. MS. CARUSO: The Northern District of Texas, Your 3 Honor, but there aren't many cases --4 5 THE COURT: I know. MS. CARUSO: -- addressing broader claims than, forum 6 7 selection clauses than just the ones arising from the contract or relating to the contract. But in that case, it did go 8 beyond just the contract. And the Court pointed out that the 9 10 test of whether or not the action arises from the contract and the contractual relationship or could have been brought outside 11 12 of the contractual relationship doesn't apply when the terms of the forum selection clause go beyond that relationship. 13 one of the terms that Flowbee agreed to in the contract, just 14 like they agreed to other terms, such as that they weren't 15 16 going to scrape or extract any data from Google's website in 17 connection with what they do. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MS. CARUSO: So whatever ability they could have had 20 to do that, they gave that up in exchange for advertising on 21 Google's website. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Well, we'll see. 23 MS. CARUSO: Okay. 24 THE COURT: We'll see what I come up with, because I 25 don't know, because I figured that's what they were going to

```
say, is that this had nothing to do with the contract. But now
 1
 2
     what you're saying is that the relationship is broader than
     just the contractual relationship.
 3
              MS. CARUSO: The forum selection clause is broader.
 4
               THE COURT: The forum selection clause.
 5
              MS. CARUSO: Yes.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay.
              MS. CARUSO: Exactly, Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: Okay.
 9
10
              MR. BRIGHT: And we will be filing --
              THE COURT: I believe it.
11
12
              MR. BRIGHT: -- a lengthy --
              THE COURT: And I'll wait, excited --
13
              MR. BRIGHT: With bated breath.
14
15
               THE COURT: -- to hear from everybody, because it's a
16
     great issue. And I don't want y'all to go away, but you know,
17
    there you have it.
18
              MR. BRIGHT: It's an interesting case.
19
              MS. CARUSO: We're happy to come back and talk more
20
     about that.
21
               THE COURT: So what about the scheduling order? Is
22
    that okay?
23
              MS. CARUSO: Yes, Your Honor.
24
              MR. BRIGHT: It is indeed. We work together
25
     famously, Your Honor.
```

THE COURT: Good. Then I'll go ahead and sign the scheduling order, which also adopts your Joint Discovery Case Management Plan.

MS. CARUSO: Thank you.

THE COURT: And then I'm going to give you a general order that you might want to use, instead of going back and forth. I'm going to give you a mediation order. And you've not been here before, so I'll tell you a little bit. I'm going to enter a general order, too, that tells about my mediation policy and the discovery policy.

In the mediation order, you pick your own mediator, you pick your own time for mediation. If it doesn't work out, if you can't agree on a mediator, you can come back to me and I'll appoint one.

MS. CARUSO: All right.

THE COURT: That's never happened. Y'all know best who can mediate these kind of things, where you want it done, and when you'll be ready for it.

Now, I put a date in here for the order for referral to mediation to notify the Court no later than X date. That you can change by agreement. In the general order, you can also change all these dates by agreement, as long as it's not the ones I tell you you can't change, trial, final pretrial conference, dispositive motions deadline, because those, I kind of figure, are mine.

```
Now, the discovery policy is this. It's in the
 1
 2
     general order, but if you have a discovery problem, you just do
     a joint call to Ms. Scotch, and she'll have you in to the court
 3
     within an hour or two, wherever I am, vacations, Timbuktu,
     literally anywhere.
 5
               So with that in mind, do you all have any present or
 6
 7
     anticipated discovery problems?
              MS. CARUSO: Not at this time, Your Honor.
              MR. BRIGHT: We haven't propounded any discovery yet,
 9
10
     Your Honor. We have a --
               THE COURT: Do you think I can deny their motion to
11
12
     dismiss just because it would be fun for me to try it?
               MR. BRIGHT: I think that's a sound basis, and I will
13
14
     find a case that supports that.
               THE COURT: A sound legal decision?
15
16
              MR. BRIGHT: It is an interesting case, I will say
17
    that, Your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: All right. Anything else, while you're
19
    here?
20
              MR. BRIGHT: Your Honor, only if the Court is
21
     interested. In anticipation of, having attended some other
22
    pretrial conferences --
23
               THE COURT: Oh, you have a PowerPoint for me. I'd
24
    love to see it.
```

MR. BRIGHT: Only if you're interested in --

25

```
THE COURT: I'm interested.
 1
 2
              MR. BRIGHT: Well, then I will --
 3
               THE COURT: Thank you.
              MR. BRIGHT: -- show you what this case is about. I
 4
     anticipated you might ask what this case is about. And with
 5
 6
     that in mind --
 7
               THE COURT: Well, I figured out what it was about. I
     did my own little Google search to see what popped up. I was
 8
     fascinated about the hair thing. Have you used it?
 9
              MR. BRIGHT: Obviously not, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. Well no, I mean, you look so nice
11
12
     and --
13
              MR. BRIGHT: Although, I will say that there is a,
14
     among --
15
               THE COURT: It's like a science fiction story, isn't
     it?
16
              MR. BRIGHT: It is a little bit.
17
18
               THE COURT: Vacuuming your hair and cutting it?
19
              MR. BRIGHT: If I'm not mistaken --
20
               THE COURT: Doesn't that take care of everything at
21
     the same time?
22
              MR. BRIGHT: You know, I don't think I'm talking out
23
     of school. Jon Muschenheim used this piece of equipment, with
24
     apparent success for years, and might still to this day.
25
               THE COURT: No.
```

```
MR. BRIGHT: I will not lie.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: You know, that's why we were talking
     about this years ago. We know this, didn't we? Because we
 3
     looked, I looked up because Mary Hardin, who's the Court
 4
     Administrator, she said, "This sounds so familiar." So we
 5
     looked up to see if we had had another Flowbee case, and no, I
 6
     think we were talking about Muschenheim. Don't you think,
 7
    Ms. Gano?
 8
              COURT RECORDER: I know he's --
 9
10
              THE COURT: That's what it was.
11
              MR. BRIGHT: U.S. Attorney approved, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: Okay. So tell me.
13
              MR. BRIGHT: Your Honor, I have a notebook. I've
14
     given them to opposing counsel. It contains an initial trial
15
    brief and also has the slides of this PowerPoint presentation,
16
     if I can present that.
17
               THE COURT: Thank you. Any objection?
18
              MS. CARUSO: Your Honor, we just, you know, saw this
19
     five minutes ago, but we're happy to --
20
               THE COURT: Well, if you object to it, it's fine. I
21
     won't look at it. I'll just look at the presentation.
22
              MS. CARUSO: Um --
23
               THE COURT: It's going to hurt his feelings, though.
24
              MS. CARUSO: The objection is the same either way
25
     for --
```

```
THE COURT: It's going to hurt his feelings terribly.
 1
              MS. CARUSO: We're fine with you seeing it. We would
 2
     like to respond, and perhaps at some later opportunity to
 3
    present our own PowerPoint explaining our side.
 4
               THE COURT: That would be fine.
 5
              MR. BRIGHT: I don't think they should be able to
 6
 7
     respond.
               THE COURT: No response? Oh, you just missed the
 8
     response deadline. Okay. This is so much better than
 9
10
     sentencing people.
              MR. BRIGHT: I've got to tell you, Your Honor, it's
11
12
    much better than being sentenced.
13
              THE COURT: Uh-huh, uh-huh.
              MR. BRIGHT: This is fairly brief, Your Honor.
14
15
     Flowbee, as I described, is a vacuum hair cutting system. It
16
     is a, as seen in Wayne's World, it is a patented hair cutting
17
     system. More importantly, perhaps, for purposes of this case,
18
     Flowbee, the word "Flowbee" is a coined term. In other words,
19
     it means nothing but what you see there.
20
               THE COURT: Okay.
21
              MR. BRIGHT: It's not a generic term. It doesn't
22
    mean --
23
               THE COURT: It's a mark.
24
              MR. BRIGHT: It's not only a mark, but as opposed to
25
    McDonalds, which is also a last name, Flowbee only refers to
```

```
this thing. It's a made-up word.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. BRIGHT: So it's perhaps, you know, like Kleenex,
 3
     which of course Kleenex has actually sort of become
 4
 5
     genericized.
               THE COURT: That's right.
 6
 7
              MR. BRIGHT: But it is a coined, made-up word.
    Flowbees have been sold very successfully on infomercials on
 8
     television and also through the internet. That's a screen shot
 9
10
     of Flowbee's internet --
               THE COURT: Well, do women use them? I mean, how do
11
12
    you do it for long hair?
              MR. BRIGHT: Yes, absolutely. In fact, Theresa
13
     Swerenko is another happy Flowbee -- the former Mrs. --
14
              THE COURT: I don't know her.
15
              MR. BRIGHT: The former Mrs. Jon Muschenheim, who had
16
17
    very similar hair.
18
               THE COURT: Oh. Oh, similar to his, though.
19
              MR. BRIGHT: Yes.
20
               THE COURT: Yeah. Well no, I meant long hair.
21
              MR. BRIGHT: I don't know. I'm sure it's adjustable.
22
               THE COURT: It looks kind of dangerous.
23
              MR. BRIGHT: Well, you know, Mike Westergren is one
24
     of the people who will --
25
               THE COURT: Don't tell me he uses it, because I don't
```

```
believe it.
 1
 2
              MR. BRIGHT: His hairs are precious to him.
 3
               THE COURT: I know. And precious few, if I remember.
              MR. BRIGHT: They're more precious than -- I
 4
     shouldn't be saying this on the record. A number of our claims
 5
     pertain to Google's sponsored links. And Your Honor, there's
 6
 7
     been actually a pretty good track record of litigation
     regarding this, not only in the Federal District Court in the
 8
     Northern District of Texas, which is within the Fifth Circuit,
 9
10
     of course, but also in the Second Circuit and the Ninth and the
     Tenth and other places, about the sponsored links.
11
12
               And this is what happens. You get to the Google
13
    page, and you Google "Flowbee."
14
               THE COURT: Okay.
15
              MR. BRIGHT: It's F-L-O-W-B-E-E. It only means that
16
     one patented thing that you saw.
17
               THE COURT: Okay. So what comes up?
18
              MR. BRIGHT: This is what comes up when you Google
19
     "Flowbee." You Google that and you get $40 sale vacuum hair
20
     cutter right up at the top. That is --
21
               THE COURT: Oh, and that's the top one. And do you
22
    pay -- I assume that you kind of pay for how you go in the
23
     line?
24
              MR. BRIGHT: That is a purchased, sponsored link by
25
    Flowbee's competitor, RoboCut. In other words, RoboCut --
```

THE COURT: Okay. So the annoying thing is, is that 1 2 when you Google "Flowbee," you should get Flowbee first. 3 MR. BRIGHT: You certainly would expect that, because otherwise that's why people use Google, because there's an 4 assumption that the search algorithm is such that the most 5 relevant search result will be first. 6 7 THE COURT: At the top, yeah. MR. BRIGHT: And there's another aspect of it, and 8 that is that if you Google, for example, "David Bright," I 9 10 would assume you would get any number of "Davids," any number of things that contain the word "bright," and maybe a whole 11 12 passel of "David Brights." When you Google "Flowbee," no part of "\$40 sale 13 vacuum hair cutter" sounds like "Flowbee." If you got a water 14 park or something, you know, maybe. But you know, it's 15 16 Google's position that since this is a, what's called a 17 sponsored link, that, you know, that's clearly an 18 advertisement. I don't think that "sponsored link" -- I mean, 19 sponsored by whom? It's certainly our position that that is --20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. BRIGHT: -- if not absolutely misleading --22 THE COURT: This is good. 23 MR. BRIGHT: -- certainly has the capacity to 24 If you -- this is Google Images. And what do you mislead. 25 get? You get the competitor, right up at the top. This is if

```
you Google "Flowbee" on Google Maps, there's their competitor
 1
 2
     at the top. If you Google, Google News, you're going to get
     their competitor there. And again --
 3
               THE COURT: Have you seen their contract, the
 4
     competitor's contract?
 5
              MR. BRIGHT: No, I have not, Your Honor.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: You're entitled to that under Rule 26.
 8
              MR. BRIGHT: I believe so, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: So --
 9
10
              MR. BRIGHT: And --
               THE COURT: That's it.
11
12
              MR. BRIGHT: Thank you, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: That's what I'm telling you. That should
13
    be part of the Rule 26 disclosures from the Defendant.
14
              MR. BRIGHT: Google product search, Google "Flowbee,"
15
16
     and again you're Googling a coined term, here's Google Groups,
17
     it's up at the top --
18
               THE COURT: Does Yahoo -- did I read that Yahoo now
19
     uses Google to Google, I mean, to search words, phrases and
20
     things?
21
              MR. BRIGHT: I don't know the answer to that
22
     question.
23
               THE COURT: So Google was going to buy Yahoo. Right?
24
    And Yahoo said no. And then they said, "Oh, forget about it.
25
    We'll just use Google to, in our search." Is that --
```

```
MS. CARUSO: I'm not sure about that, Your Honor.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. Maybe I just made that up.
 3
              MS. CARUSO: I think perhaps Microsoft has an
     arrangement with Yahoo concerning their search systems. But I
 4
     don't believe that Google does in that fashion.
 5
               THE COURT: I thought -- okay. You would know. I
 6
 7
     just wondered if it was some kind of a contract between all
     these things that changed things around.
 8
              MR. BRIGHT: Actually, this has been going on since,
 9
10
     if I'm not mistaken, 1994 maybe is when Google started selling
     trademark terms as trigger search words. If I'm not mistaken,
11
     I have it in my notes, but they sell various words to trigger,
12
    you know, search results --
13
               THE COURT: Well, they must have sold "Flowbee" to
14
15
     that competitor.
              MR. BRIGHT: Unquestionably.
16
17
               THE COURT: One would assume. But I'm going to hear
18
     something different, I imagine.
19
              MR. BRIGHT: I don't know that they're, I don't
20
    believe that they are disputing that Google sold --
21
               THE COURT: Flowbee.
22
               MR. BRIGHT: -- the use of the word "Flowbee" to
23
    Flowbee's competitor. I think they're --
24
               THE COURT: This is just a sad situation when you
25
     can't trust Google.
```

```
MR. BRIGHT: If you Google "Flowbee.com," that's what
 1
 2
     this is, Flowbee.com --
 3
              THE COURT: Huh-uh.
              MR. BRIGHT: -- you get 40 -- I'm not saying if you
 4
    put it up in the URL. I'm saying if you Google
 5
     "Flowbee.com" --
 6
 7
               THE COURT: I got it.
              MR. BRIGHT: -- you get the competitor at the top.
 8
     If you Google something close to Flowbee, which is like leave
 9
10
     the W out --
11
               THE COURT: Uh-huh.
12
              MR. BRIGHT: -- there's their competitor. If you
13
     Google "F-L-O-W-B-E," leave an E out and misspell it, you get
14
     their competitor.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. I got it.
16
              MR. BRIGHT: How about, quote --
17
               THE COURT: Move on.
18
              MR. BRIGHT: "Flowbee haircut system."
19
               THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. BRIGHT: Clearly you're going to get the
20
21
    Flowbee -- no, you're going to get competitor, competitor,
22
     competitor.
23
               THE COURT: Okay.
24
              MR. BRIGHT: In terms of whether it's confusing, I
25
     don't -- I don't know why a person wouldn't assume that that
```

```
$45 sale vacuum hair cutter was Flowbee.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Was Flowbee. Yeah, I would.
              MR. BRIGHT: What does Google say? Google --
 3
               THE COURT: But I'm not good at this either.
 5
              MR. BRIGHT: On Google's AdWords learning center,
     where they sell these search terms to people --
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. I just think she ought to just
     turn her pockets out and be done with it.
 8
              MR. BRIGHT: Well, I don't want her money, Your
 9
     Honor, just her client's.
10
11
               THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. BRIGHT: But one of the things Google says to
12
13
    people that do business with them, they say who, you know, for
14
     example --
15
               THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. BRIGHT: -- RoboCut. Let's say the competitor,
16
17
     who availed themselves of this AdWords program, once you
18
     activate your account, RoboCut, your ads will be eligible to
19
     appear. That is, the AdWords system will constantly seek out
20
     search queries related to the key words you've selected. In
21
     this case, they've selected trademark term "Flowbee." Then
22
     display your ads to highly targeted audiences. In short, you
23
     would be advertising directly to an audience already looking
24
     for you. In other words, this is Google's --
25
              THE COURT: Got it. Is that in your contract?
```

```
MR. BRIGHT: No. This is actually on the Google
 1
 2
     learning center page --
 3
               THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. BRIGHT: -- for the AdWords. If you're
 4
     interested in buying AdWords through Google, such as Flowbee,
 5
     they're saying in short, RoboCut, you're advertising directly
 6
     to an audience already looking for Google, I mean for --
 7
               THE COURT: Flowbee.
 8
              MR. BRIGHT: -- Flowbee. Pardon me.
 9
10
               THE COURT: Got it.
              MR. BRIGHT: In contrast, if you go to the Google
11
    page, you Google the word "Google," you see no such sponsored
12
13
     links on there. You don't see anything for bing.com or
14
     Yahoo --
15
               THE COURT: Yahoo.
16
              MR. BRIGHT: -- or Dogpile.
17
               THE COURT: Well, that's because they hadn't paid
18
     them. Yahoo hasn't paid them.
19
              MR. BRIGHT: If they would allow them to do that in
20
     the first place.
21
               There's also the Google AdSense program, which allows
22
    people with websites to display targeted Google ads on their
23
     own websites. Now, with respect to this, we talked about the
24
    F-L-O-W-B-E.com, where you had the competitor show up. In
25
     addition, you're directed to a website that is F-L-O-W-B-E.com.
```

```
And on information and belief, we think these are, this is a
 1
 2
     website which has availed itself of the AdSense, you know,
     Google's AdSense campaign. You see Flowbee on there that is
 3
     correctly spelled.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Who did that website? Do you know the --
               MR. BRIGHT: We don't know. I mean, we have a -- I
 6
 7
     think that's something we're going to have to be assessing out
     in discovery.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. BRIGHT: But, I mean, we can find out who did the
10
     website and we know the name of some company, but we don't
11
12
     know --
13
               THE COURT: What's the name of the company?
14
               MR. BRIGHT: Oh, I have it in my notes, Your Honor,
15
     and I'm not sure. I mean, it's a very generic sounding name,
16
    you know.
17
               THE COURT: That did the website?
18
               MR. BRIGHT: Yes, that did this F-L-O-W-B-E website.
19
    But on it --
20
               THE COURT: Could you look it up and find out?
21
               MR. BRIGHT: I don't know that I have that -- I know
22
     that we did look it up, and I know that we did come up with
23
     that information.
24
          (PAUSE.)
25
               THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm watching.
```

```
MR. BRIGHT: Oh, pardon me. The interesting thing is
 1
 2
    here we see Flowbee correctly spelled, F-L-O-W-B-E-E. You
     click on that, and you get to this page that has the Flowbee
 3
     search request, and it is chock full of competitors.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: That's the same as the one without the E.
              MR. BRIGHT: Right. But when you, if you go to
 6
 7
     this --
               THE COURT: That thing at the top left?
 8
              MR. BRIGHT: If you go to this F-L-O-W-B-E.com, in
 9
10
     other words, the misspelled --
               THE COURT: Yeah.
11
12
              MR. BRIGHT: -- Flowbee, you get to this website that
13
     is F-L-O-W-B-E.com, which doesn't mean anything. Flowbe, it's
14
     not even a coined term or anything else. It's a misspelling of
     Flowbee. And it is our belief that the owner of this website
15
16
    has availed itself of the AdSense campaign that Google has
17
     which allows these links that you can buy from Google. And if
18
     you click on that Flowbee link there that is correctly
19
     spelled --
20
               THE COURT: Okay.
21
              MR. BRIGHT: -- you end up with competitors. And
22
     this again is something we're going to have to do some serious
23
     discovery on, but that's something that's of great concern.
24
              And I have a discussion of the applicable state and
25
     federal law, if the Court is interested in it. It's also
```

```
included in our brief and in our petition, if you're interested
 1
     in the various causes of action.
 2
               THE COURT: I read all of it, I promise.
 3
              MR. BRIGHT: Well, in that case, I won't belabor
 4
     that, Your Honor. So there you have it.
 5
               THE COURT: Now, don't you think she can respond now?
 6
 7
              MR. BRIGHT: Certainly.
               THE COURT: Are you done?
 8
 9
              MR. BRIGHT: I am, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Bright.
              MS. CARUSO: Thank you, Your Honor. I didn't come
11
12
    prepared with slides, but I would like to address a few of the
    points, just so you can understand --
13
14
               THE COURT: I saw you were making notes to tell me.
15
    Yes, please.
              MS. CARUSO: -- the context here.
16
17
               First of all, as noted, I think it would be an
18
     interesting case to see through, and perhaps someone else who
19
     doesn't have a contract with Google will sue us here, and we
20
     can be back.
21
               THE COURT: Very diplomatic. Thank you.
22
               MS. CARUSO: You know, one thing that, when you were
23
     talking earlier about Kleenex, I think it provides a useful
24
     analogy for what we're talking about here, and to understand
25
    how it is that sponsored links work for the searcher.
```

```
THE COURT: Because I imagine if I put in Kleenex, I
 1
 2
     would get not just Kleenex, but Scott Tissues and all the
 3
     other --
              MS. CARUSO: Probably so, much like if you went to
 5
     Wal-Mart or --
               THE COURT: But I don't know if they still have a
 6
 7
    mark, if Kleenex still has a mark.
              MS. CARUSO: I believe that they do. At least they
 8
 9
    present it that way.
10
               THE COURT: Okay.
11
              MS. CARUSO: If you were to go into a drug store and
12
     say, "Where do you keep the Kleenex," it's not necessarily that
     you want to purchase Kleenex brand tissue.
13
14
               THE COURT: Uh-huh.
15
              MS. CARUSO: It's that you want to know where that
16
     type of product is kept. Or maybe you have it in your head
17
     that you do want Kleenex, but when you go to that section that
18
    has the tissue, you decide, you know, this one has lotion in
19
     it. Maybe I want that one instead. It has some features I
20
    hadn't thought about. Or this one has a better price, and
21
     that's my primary driving point.
22
               So it's useful to have all of those relevant things
23
     in one place, because not everyone who types in, "I'm looking
24
     for Kleenex," is looking just to buy Kleenex. They might be
25
     doing some competitive shopping as well.
```

```
They also might be looking for, you know, a lot of
 1
 2
     the examples here were of competitors. But those aren't, of
 3
     course, the only types of sponsored links there are. There
     could be people who have news stories about that product,
     customer reviews of that product. So there's a lot of
 5
     information, relevant information to a trademark product that
 6
 7
     can be gotten from this search term. And that's what Google is
     interested in providing.
 8
              And in terms of the sponsored links, Mr. Bright
 9
10
     referred continuously to Google selling words. And Google
     recognizes that it doesn't have, it doesn't own those
11
12
     trademarks. It does not sell those trademarks.
13
               THE COURT: Well, what do you do? I thought it was
14
     just a search engine.
15
              MS. CARUSO: It is a search engine. So what we do in
16
     the AdWords program, Google offers the --
17
               THE COURT: Don't you buy, I mean, I've heard this, I
18
     didn't know it was true, but I've heard that you, you're able
19
     to buy kind of a slot in the deal. When you look up Kleenex,
20
     you can buy your position.
21
              MS. CARUSO: It's a little bit, not exactly that.
22
               THE COURT: Can you -- no?
23
              MS. CARUSO: I'll talk a little bit about it.
               THE COURT: Okay.
24
25
              MS. CARUSO: So what happens if you decide to become
```

an AdWords advertiser? You get various information from Google 1 2 about how your account is going to work. Mr. Bright had one of those terms up here --3 THE COURT: Okay. MS. CARUSO: -- that applies to all AdWords 5 advertisers, Flowbee included. And you identify key words. So 6 7 the whole point of AdWords is to provide relevant advertising to the consumers --8 THE COURT: So you would put vacuum, hair cutter, 9 10 Flowbee, because that's the biggest, like the Kleenex, of the vacuum hair cutter industry. 11 MS. CARUSO: Right. You might choose to enter those 12 13 as the key words. 14 THE COURT: And say, "I want to come up under these 15 searches." MS. CARUSO: And then you have the opportunity to 16 17 bid, to tell Google, "I'm willing to pay X amount of money for 18 every click that someone makes when my ad is displayed, in 19 connection with that key word." 20 THE COURT: So if that competitor that he kept 21 showing that comes up first paid more money than Flowbee --22 MS. CARUSO: It may or may not be the case, because 23 it's not driven solely by price. There is an algorithm that 24 Google uses, and it's a combination of relevance and price. 25 And that algorithm is much more complicated than I could

explain to you. 1 2 THE COURT: Is that a trade secret? 3 MS. CARUSO: I believe it is, Your Honor. It's -and it's what enables Google to display that information. 4 Because from Google's perspective, it's in everyone's interest 5 to have the most relevant information be displayed. 6 7 So if you wanted to bid on the Flowbee mark, but you're selling your services as a mediator, for example, you 8 could, you know, bid "I'll pay \$20 a click for people who are 9 10 looking for Flowbee. There's a high correlation of Flowbee users and people who need mediators." 11 12 THE COURT: And mediators or whatever, okay. MS. CARUSO: But Google's, that's probably not going 13 14 to be highly rated, even if you're by and away the higher bidder, because Google's going to look at the relevance of 15 16 that, their algorithm will look at the relevance of that site 17 and kind of the clicks --18 THE COURT: Well, do you tell your, do you tell 19 people when they enter into a contract with you that they're 20 going to be subject to these algorithms, and they may not be 21 the first person, even if that's their trademark name, they may 22 not be the first entity that comes up when their actual name is 23 Googled? 24 MS. CARUSO: Yes. Google has --25 THE COURT: You tell them all that?

```
MS. CARUSO: If you sign up for an AdWords account,
 1
 2
     you get numerous correspondence from Google explaining kind of
    here's how AdWords works. And then there's a lot of questions
 3
     and answers. "My ad isn't showing up as high as I want it to
    be. Why is that?" And Google will give explanations.
 5
               THE COURT: Did you get an explanation, Mr. Bright,
 6
 7
     for your company, Flowbee?
              MR. BRIGHT: I'm sorry, Your Honor?
 8
               THE COURT: Did Flowbee say, "Why is this happening?"
 9
10
              MR. BRIGHT: No. I think --
11
               THE COURT: They never contacted Google and said,
12
     "Why is this happening?"
              MR. BRIGHT: I don't know that they did. I don't
13
14
     think it's that easy to get a response to -- I'm not sure if
15
     you can get Mr. Google on the phone, but I think he --
               THE COURT: I've talked to him many times.
16
17
              MR. BRIGHT: I think he --
18
               THE COURT: And it's Ms. Google.
19
              MR. BRIGHT: My bad, Your Honor. I think it's pretty
20
     clear why this happens. There's two different things we're
21
     talking about. One is if you're a lawyer who handles cases
22
     involving foreclosures, you might want to bump yourself up on
23
     the search, this generic search for foreclosure lawyers, or
24
     foreclosure, or lawyers. It's another thing when you're paying
25
    money, as a competitor --
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Okay.
 2
              MR. BRIGHT: -- paying money to pop up there.
 3
               THE COURT: I just, I didn't mean to interrupt her
    presentation, except for that one issue. And I'll give you
 4
     another chance to speak, if you would like.
 5
 6
              MR. BRIGHT: Sure.
               THE COURT: But I just wanted to know if you had
 7
     complained to Google that this is happening, fix it. Other
 8
     than the suit.
 9
10
              MR. BRIGHT: Well --
11
               THE COURT: So do you have any correspondence from
12
     them, from Flowbee?
13
              MS. CARUSO: Not that we're aware, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Okay. You've talked to all the Googles?
15
              MS. CARUSO: Not every one of them, but we looked for
16
     that.
17
               So basically, that's how AdWords works. And we,
18
     Google's position, again, one of the things Mr. Bright said
19
     when he had the screen shot up and pointed to, he circled in
20
     red the sponsored link language.
21
               THE COURT: Right.
22
              MS. CARUSO: That's something that, you know, as he
23
     said, it's clearly sponsors, clearly paid-for advertising. So,
24
     and there's the shading beneath that as well. You would think
25
    that calls out to customers this is not the natural search
```

```
result. This is giving you something that's sponsored.
 1
                                                              Just
 2
     like you know that when you have a football game that's
 3
     sponsored by something, or a game show, they say, "Please
     support our sponsors," we know that sponsors mean paid for.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: But if you Google "Flowbee" --
 6
              MS. CARUSO: Uh-huh.
 7
               THE COURT: -- does that not come up as sponsored
     link first?
 8
              MS. CARUSO: It depends, Your Honor, actually on --
 9
10
     there are -- because of the way the algorithm works, it's not
     always going to show up the same way every day.
11
12
               THE COURT: It will be different every day?
13
              MS. CARUSO: But so some days --
               THE COURT: If I Google it right now, I've got on
14
15
     Google --
16
              MS. CARUSO: Okay.
17
              THE COURT: -- and I put in "Flowbee," and we'll see
18
     what comes up.
19
              MS. CARUSO: All right.
20
               THE COURT: Flowbee haircut system, first thing.
21
     Uh-oh. Are you the $45 RoboCut?
22
              MR. BRIGHT: That's our competitor, Your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: Flowbee -- no, it says "Flowbee" with a
24
    trademark.
25
              MS. CARUSO: Your Honor, I believe --
```

```
THE COURT: Is that your competitor? Come look at
 1
    this. You all come look at this and see what this is. I don't
 2
 3
     see how that could be your competitor.
              MR. BRIGHT: That is our competitor. It says,
 5
     "Flowbee, $45 RoboCut," and that is not us, Your Honor.
 6
              MS. CARUSO: So, Your Honor, I think that this site,
 7
    haircut compare, if you click on it, I think it compares
    Flowbee to RoboCut.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay.
10
              MS. CARUSO: So it --
              MR. BRIGHT: That is RoboCut's website.
11
              MS. CARUSO: So it's using --
12
              THE COURT: Oh, so that's RoboCut's website?
13
14
              MR. BRIGHT: RoboCut has that website.
15
              THE COURT: Oh. So if I -- I'm just Googling
     "Flowbee." And what comes up is the sponsored link --
16
              MR. BRIGHT: That is correct.
17
18
              THE COURT: -- with the competitor's website.
19
              MS. CARUSO: Right. And this use, you know,
20
    comparing Flowbee to RoboCut on the website, we submit, Your
21
    Honor, is clearly fair use. It's comparative advertising,
22
    there are FTC guidelines --
23
              THE COURT: I've got it. But it may be a little
24
    unfair if I were Flowbee. I'm just saying. And I may not have
25
    this case, you know, permanently, but --
```

```
1
              MS. CARUSO: Right.
 2
               THE COURT: -- I would be annoyed.
 3
              MS. CARUSO: Well, Your Honor, the law is more
     specific -- I know you didn't (inaudible) talked about earlier,
 4
    but annoyance isn't the test really. It's whether or not
 5
     there's a likelihood of confusion that arises from this. And,
 6
 7
     you know, here you've got the Flowbee name and the RoboCut
     name, and Haircut Compare is your URL there. So this is a
 8
     comparison website.
 9
10
               THE COURT: Except it's RoboCut's website.
              MS. CARUSO: It is, and they're free to compare the
11
12
     two products, just like --
               THE COURT: I understand.
13
              MS. CARUSO: -- Coke is free to compare itself to
14
15
     Pepsi, and Pepsi does a Pepsi challenge.
               THE COURT: I would feel somewhat confused if I were
16
17
     looking up Flowbee and got that for number one. That's all I'm
18
     saying. And that is one of the legal issues --
19
              MS. CARUSO: Yes, Your Honor, it certainly is.
20
               THE COURT: -- is the possibility of confusion, or
21
     the likelihood of confusion.
22
              MR. BRIGHT: Correct.
23
              MS. CARUSO: That's right, Your Honor. That's the
24
    key legal issue, and one that certainly will be addressed by --
25
                          In California, you think.
               THE COURT:
```

```
1
              MS. CARUSO: Yes.
 2
               THE COURT: And it may be. You may be right about
     it.
 3
              MS. CARUSO: -- by consumer studies and experts.
 5
               So because, you know, as consumers people bring
     different ideas and perceptions into things.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: What are the damages? You have to
     disclose how many hits were made on that RoboCut versus
 8
     Flowbee?
 9
10
              MS. CARUSO: Well --
               THE COURT: Is that it? What are the damages?
11
12
              MR. BRIGHT: Well, it's the money that they have been
    paid, it is the money that we have lost, and it is potentially
13
14
     attorney's fees and exemplary damages, depending on --
              THE COURT: So you're going to have to look at
15
16
     RoboCut. Are you going to have to add them in and see how much
     they've made off this?
17
18
              MR. BRIGHT: We don't anticipate doing that, Your
19
    Honor.
20
               THE COURT: How else could you find out what you
21
     lost, except for what they got?
22
              MR. BRIGHT: I suspect it will be the subject of
23
     forensic accounting that can show when this practice started
24
     and --
25
               THE COURT: I see.
```

```
MR. BRIGHT: -- how it affected our profits.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Okay.
 3
              MS. CARUSO: You know, it's a very interesting
     question, Your Honor.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: It is, isn't it?
              MS. CARUSO: Because, of course, the Plaintiff is
 6
 7
     very free to sue the competitor for -- they're the ones who
     chose to frame the ad the way that they did.
 8
               THE COURT: Except for you put it -- it was the
 9
10
     placement, is what he's saying, is that --
              MS. CARUSO: It was placed --
11
12
               THE COURT: Location, location, location.
13
              MS. CARUSO: It was placed pursuant to our algorithm,
     that's correct. They chose the words and they chose that
14
     connection. Flowbee has sued this competitor before, in
15
     California.
16
17
               THE COURT: Really?
18
              MS. CARUSO: Yes. So as you can see, though, I guess
19
    maybe perhaps Google is perceived as having a bigger --
20
               THE COURT: A hand in it?
21
              MS. CARUSO: -- bank book.
22
              THE COURT: Okay.
23
              MS. CARUSO: So I'm happy to address anything else
24
    Your Honor has questions on.
25
               THE COURT: No, I think you've done a wonderful job.
```

```
I appreciate it.
 1
 2
              MS. CARUSO: Thank you.
 3
              THE COURT: And it's interesting. You know, I wish
    I -- I hope I get to keep the case, but I may not. So anything
 5
    else?
              MR. BRIGHT: Only if you want us to start Round 2,
 6
 7
    Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Do you want another round?
              MR. BRIGHT: If you like. We operate at the pleasure
 9
10
    of the Court.
              THE COURT: It's up to you. My time is your time.
11
12
              MR. BRIGHT: Well, I guess I would say this. I don't
    think the sponsored link is clear. Google did a customer
13
     survey to find out whether they ought to call this a sponsored
14
    link or an advertisement, which is what it is, and they chose
15
     sponsored links, because more people clicked on a thing that
16
     said sponsored link than clicked on an advertisement. You know
17
18
    why? Because I don't know what sponsored link means. It means
19
     sponsored by whom? I mean, you --
20
              THE COURT: Sponsored by Google? Sponsored by --
21
              MR. BRIGHT: Apparently sponsored by Google and paid
22
    for by a competitor, competitors' paid advertisements with --
23
              THE COURT: I wouldn't know what it meant. I
24
    wouldn't have an opinion.
25
              MR. BRIGHT: And I think that's misleading. I think
```

```
it's -- I think it has more value to the competitor. RoboCut
 1
 2
     gets more value out of that when it has "sponsored link"
     than --
 3
               THE COURT: I quess I was, I didn't know -- I figured
 4
     if I put in a "Flowbee," that I would get not a sponsored link
 5
     first, but I would get something else, like a natural word
 6
     search. You know what I'm -- like you used to do in Westlaw.
 7
              MR. BRIGHT: Which is why you use Google. If you
 8
 9
     thought that every time you did a search on Google you were
10
     just going to come up with a mass of advertisements, nobody
     would use it. It's -- the stock and trade of Google is not
11
12
     where they make all their money. They make their money doing
13
     this stuff. But the stock and trade of Google is people
14
     clicking on there, because they think that they're going to get
15
     the most relevant, in descending order of relevance, with
16
     respect to their search.
17
               THE COURT: Well, I think that's interesting.
18
               MR. BRIGHT: And if you're searching a generic term
19
     like "vacuum hair system," or if you're looking in the Yellow
20
     Pages, or if you're walking down an aisle in the store that
21
     says "pain relievers," I understand you're going to be
22
    bombarded with products. But when you pick up a box of
23
     Advil --
24
               THE COURT: But even those products pay for their
25
    placement in the stores.
```

```
MR. BRIGHT: They do indeed. You walk down the aisle
 1
 2
     in the store, assuming that you're going to get a number of
 3
    brands of toothpaste, or, to use this example, a number of
    brands of pain reliever.
               THE COURT: Except for Crest toothpaste and all those
 5
     tooth -- they pay for their placement in the stores also.
 6
 7
              MR. BRIGHT: Unquestionably. Now, if --
 8
               THE COURT: So placement, so location is important,
 9
    no matter what.
10
              MR. BRIGHT: I think that's correct. And if you
11
     reach for a box of Crest toothpaste, and what is inside that
12
     Crest toothpaste is Ultra Bright, that is rather confusing.
13
     And what people are doing is they are Googling "Flowbee," a
14
     coined term that refers to one and only one thing in the whole
15
     universe.
16
               THE COURT: Okay.
17
              MR. BRIGHT: It's not a generic search.
18
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
19
              MR. BRIGHT: And I think that's one of the things
20
     that's --
21
               THE COURT: I understand.
22
              MR. BRIGHT: -- rather confusing.
23
              THE COURT: You may both be right. Who knows?
              MS. CARUSO: I'd like to make just a few points --
24
25
               THE COURT: You may.
```

MS. CARUSO: -- in response, Your Honor. 1 Thank you. 2 One is that, you know, Flowbee vacuum hair cutting system, this 3 is one of the things that Mr. Bright just said could be typed in, if someone has only bid on vacuum hair cutting system, and not Flowbee, the fact that vacuum hair cutting system is typed 5 in is going to be part of what drives their ad to show up in 6 response as well. 7 THE COURT: I can imagine that. 8 MS. CARUSO: So just, I bring that to your attention 9 10 because it's not as if, you know, Flowbee can decide, we're going to carve out this realm of words and no one else can be 11 12 associated with them at all. 13 A couple of other things. You know, Westlaw you 14 mentioned, and of course, Westlaw charges you for the use of the system. Google doesn't charge you for the use of the 15 system. It's paid for by advertising. So that's --16 17 THE COURT: You all paid for my Westlaw. Thank you 18 very much. 19 MS. CARUSO: Yes, Your Honor. Westlaw charges 20 people. They don't give it out for free. 21 And just one final thing I'd like to raise, since 22 we're citing evidence that's not before the Court, is that the 23 FTC has issued a letter specifically approving of the use of

THE COURT: This type of use?

24

25

the term --

```
MS. CARUSO: -- "sponsored link," as a way to call
 1
 2
     out to consumers that this is not natural results.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay.
              MS. CARUSO: That it's something else. Thank you.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: I think I can see why Google hired you.
 6
     Thank you. That's it?
 7
              MR. BRIGHT: I believe so, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you all very much.
 9
              MS. CARUSO: Thank you.
10
              MR. BRIGHT: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: You're excused.
12
              MR. BRIGHT: Thank you.
13
          (Proceedings concluded at 1:44 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
     I, court approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a
     correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording
19
     of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
20
21
22
                                    September 25, 2009
     /s/ Molly Carter
23
    Molly Carter
                                    Date
24
25
```