

E-Filed 1/5/2011

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 ANNA VERTKIN,

No. C 10-0775 RS

12
13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

**ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
TO VACATE JANUARY 6, 2011
CALENDAR**15 WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE, et
16 al.,17 Defendants.
18 _____/

19
20 A hearing on defendant Wells Fargo's motion to dismiss was scheduled for January 6, 2011
21 at 1:30 p.m. Via clerk's notice filed on January 3, 2011, the Court informed the parties that the
22 matter was fit for resolution without oral argument, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), and vacated
23 the hearing date. As explained in the notice, the matter is now submitted, and an Order on
24 defendant's motion shall issue in due course.

25 On January 4, 2011, plaintiff filed a motion in a related matter, numbered C 10-03527 RS,
26 requesting that the Court vacate the January 6, 2011 hearing date in the C 10-0775 RS matter. As
27 this has already occurred, the request is granted. In that motion, however, plaintiff described the 10-
28 0775 RS matter as "consolidated" with the C 10-03527 RS matter. This is not accurate. The cases

No. C 10-0775 RS
ORDER

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

are *related*, but are not consolidated. They remain separate actions and the parties are reminded, when filing documents, to treat them accordingly.

Dated: 1/5/2011



RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE