

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 TISHAU PARTNERS,

No. C 10-0835 SI

9 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S  
"EMERGENCY REQUEST FOR STAY  
OF ORDER, OBJECTION, REQUEST  
FOR RECONSIDERATION"**

10 v.

11 GRACE MILES,

12 Defendant.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/

14 In an order filed March 24, 2010, the Court remanded this case to state court, holding that the  
15 removal was untimely and that there is no basis for jurisdiction over this unlawful detainer action. On  
16 March 25, 2010, defendant filed an "Emergency Request for Stay of Order, Objection, Request for  
17 Reconsideration." Defendant states that the removal was in fact timely because her bankruptcy filing  
18 somehow tolled the time to file a notice of removal. Defendant does not cite any authority for this  
19 proposition. However, regardless of whether the removal was timely, the fact remains that defendant  
20 has not demonstrated any basis for this Court's jurisdiction.

21 Accordingly, defendant's motion is DENIED. Defendant is instructed not to file any further  
22 pleadings in this closed case.

23  
24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**25  
26 Dated: March 25, 2010

27 SUSAN ILLSTON  
28 United States District Judge