

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
6  
7

8 THERESA BENSON,  
9 Plaintiff,

No. C 10-843 MHP (pr)

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

10 v.

11 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF  
12 CALIFORNIA,  
13 Defendants.  
14

15 On August 25, 2010, mail was sent from the court to plaintiff at the address she  
16 provided and was returned undelivered on August 27, 2010, marked "return to sender" and  
17 "no longer here." Plaintiff has not provided any address other than the one to which the  
18 undeliverable mail was sent. More than sixty days have passed since the mail was returned  
19 to the court undelivered. Plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 3-11(a) which  
20 requires that a party proceeding pro se must "promptly file with the Court and serve upon all  
21 opposing parties a Notice of Change of Address specifying the new address" when his  
22 address changes. Local Rule 3-11(b) allows the court to dismiss a complaint without  
23 prejudice when mail directed to a pro se party is returned as not deliverable and the pro se  
24 party fails to send a current address within sixty days of the return of the undelivered mail.  
25 This action is dismissed without prejudice because plaintiff failed to keep the court informed  
26 of her address in compliance with Local Rule 3-11(a). The clerk shall close the file.

27 IT IS SO ORDERED.

28 Dated: March 28, 2011

  
Marilyn Hall Patel  
United States District Judge