

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HAI QUOC TRAN,

Plaintiff,

No. C 10-00882 WHA

v.

FIDELITY KEEGAN, MORTGAGE  
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, INC.,  
METROCITIES MORTGAGE, LLC, DBA  
NO RED TAPE MORTGAGE, J.P. MORGAN  
CHASE, CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE  
COMPANY, PROSPECT MORTGAGE, and  
DOES 1 to 100,

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

Defendants.

Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, has filed twelve claims relating to a mortgage loan that he obtained. Defendants JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., California Reconveyance Company and Mortgage Electronic Registration System, Inc. filed a motion to dismiss scheduled to be heard on May 13, 2010. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3, any brief in opposition to the motion from plaintiff was due on April 22, 2010, but no such opposition has been received. Plaintiff is **ORDERED** to respond by **MAY 10, 2010, AT NOON**, and show cause for his failure to respond to the motion in accordance with Civil Local Rule 7-3(a) or alternately to file statement of nonopposition to the motion as required by Civil Local Rule 7-3(b). This order to show cause

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

does not constitute permission to file a late opposition. The hearing scheduled for May 13, 2010, is **VACATED**. A new hearing shall be noticed by the Court if necessary. Plaintiff's failure to respond to the order to show cause will likely result in dismissal.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: April 26, 2010.



---

WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE