

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIADEREK DUANE MEADE,
Plaintiff,

No. C 10-963 MHP (pr)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

v.


Correctional Officer LEE; et al.,
Defendants.

On August 27, 2010, mail was sent from the court to plaintiff at the address he provided and was returned undelivered on September 2, 2010, marked "RTS - PAR." Although the abbreviation is vague, it may mean that the envelope was to be returned to sender because the inmate paroled. Plaintiff has not provided any address other than the one to which the undeliverable mail was sent. More than sixty days have passed since the mail was returned to the court undelivered. Plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 3-11(a) which requires that a party proceeding pro se must "promptly file with the Court and serve upon all opposing parties a Notice of Change of Address specifying the new address" when his address changes. Local Rule 3-11(b) allows the court to dismiss a complaint without prejudice when mail directed to a pro se party is returned as not deliverable and the pro se party fails to send a current address within sixty days of the return of the undelivered mail. This action is dismissed without prejudice because plaintiff failed to keep the court informed

of his address in compliance with Local Rule 3-11(a). The clerk shall close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 18, 2010



Marilyn Hall Patel
United States District Judge