1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	Northern District of California	
6		
7	THOMAS DUNN,	N. G.10.0060 MTV
8	Plaintiff,	No. C 10-0969 MEJ
9	V.	ORDER RE: SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL
10	MARIN COUNTY, et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	On October 18, 2010, Plaintiff's counsel, Richard Sax, filed a "Substitution of Attorney."	
14	(Dkt. #23.) However, the notice does not name a new attorney and instead states that Plaintiff's	
15	"legal representative" will be himself. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 11-5, "Counsel may not	
16	withdraw from an action until relieved by order of Court after written notice has been given	
17	reasonably in advance to the client and to all other parties who have appeared in the case."	
18	Accordingly, Plaintiff's substitution of counsel is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE to the filing of	
19	a properly noticed motion pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21		200
22	Dated: October 20, 2010	
23		Maria-Elena James Chief United States Magistrate Judge
24		Cinci Cinica States Tagistrate Vauge
25		
26		
27		
28		