

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY
 ATTEST
 By Tarrell L. Littleton on Apr 07, 2010

FOR THE UNITED STATES
 JUDICIAL PANEL ON
 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

Mar 23, 2010

**FILED
CLERK'S OFFICE**

**IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2100

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-18)

On October 1, 2009, the Panel transferred 32 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 655 F.Supp.2d 1343 (J.P.M.L. 2009). Since that time, 302 additional actions have been transferred to the Southern District of Illinois. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable David R. Herndon.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of Illinois and assigned to Judge Herndon.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Southern District of Illinois for the reasons stated in the order of October 1, 2009, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable David R. Herndon.


This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 14 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 14-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Apr 07, 2010

CLERK'S OFFICE
 UNITED STATES
 JUDICIAL PANEL ON
 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:


 Jeffrey N. Lüthi
 Clerk of the Panel

FILED

APR 07 2010

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EAST ST. LOUIS OFFICE

**SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
CERTIFIED TRUE COPY**

By 
Deputy Clerk

Date 4/7/10

**IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2100

SCHEDULE CTO-18 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST. DIV. C.A. #</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL	
CAC 2 10-1385	Josefina Brambila, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20248-DRH-PMF
CAC 8 10-274	Elenora Bouwman v. Bayer Corp., et al. Vacated 3/3/010
CALIFORNIA EASTERN	
CAE 1 10-418	Alisia Rhodes v. Bayer Corp., et al. Opposed 3/30/10
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN	
CAN 3 10-970	Alexandrea Lentini v. McKesson Corp., et al. 10-20249-DRH-PMF
CONNECTICUT	
CT 3 10-254	Sara Lestage v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20250-DRH-PMF
KENTUCKY EASTERN	
KYE 5 10-65	Allison Hensley v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20251-DRH-PMF
NEW YORK SOUTHERN	
NYS 1 10-985	Jessica Gilliland, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20252-DRH-PMF
NYS 1 10-986	Yvonne Jebe v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20253-DRH-PMF
NYS 1 10-988	Tabby Keahey-Parsons v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20254-DRH-PMF
NYS 1 10-1286	Kathy Klepfer v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20255-DRH-PMF
SOUTH CAROLINA	
SC 8 10-633	Patty Bagwell Dismukes, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20256-DRH-PMF
TENNESSEE EASTERN	
TNE 1 10-44	Crystal Henson v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20257-DRH-PMF
TNE 3 10-82	Kelsey Hicks v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20258-DRH-PMF
TNE 3 10-84	Lisa Emmert v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20259-DRH-PMF
TNE 3 10-85	Kenneth Fitzpatrick, etc. v. Bayer Corp., et al. 10-20260-DRH-PMF