

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MALAQUIAS REYNOSO, *et al.*,

No. C 10-00984 MEJ (LB)

Plaintiff(s),

**ORDER RE SETTLEMENT  
CONFERENCE**

v.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
FRANCISCO, *et al.*,

Defendant(s).

---

The court previously ordered the following. *See, e.g.*, 7/8/13 Order, ECF No. 103.

Plaintiffs' counsel must review with the clients (with the assistance of an interpreter) the summary judgment filings and all of defendants' settlement conference statements. Then, Plaintiffs shall make any revised demand. The demand must be communicated to defense counsel no later than Monday, July 15, 2013, at 1 p.m. Plaintiffs should cc the court with the demands (current and revised) by simultaneous email to the court's orders box at [lbpo@cand.uscourts.gov](mailto:lbpo@cand.uscourts.gov). Defendants shall respond (and cc the court by email) by Monday, July 15, 2013, at 5 p.m. Plaintiffs should email the court their settlement papers no later than Monday, July 15, 2013, at 5 p.m.

The court did not receive confirmation that Plaintiffs performed the required review (which was designed to facilitate decision-making regarding the demand). The court received no information about any demand or response. A settlement conference cannot take place without this information. This is not an onerous burden: the court is very familiar with the case and told the parties that they did not have to file new statements or provide new information or do anything except (A) Plaintiffs

1 need to understand the case before coming to the settlement conference and (B) Plaintiffs need to  
2 make a demand so that Defendants can respond. Because of the way the government works, this has  
3 to happen before the settlement conference. The court has met with everyone previously, and this is  
4 the only way to make the conference productive.

5 The court understands that Plaintiffs' oppositions are due on July 26, 2013 (despite the court's  
6 previous efforts to stage the settlement before the oppositions to save counsel the work if the case  
7 could be resolved). Given that context, the court issues this revised order.

8 1. Plaintiffs' counsel must review with the clients (with the assistance of an interpreter) the  
9 summary judgment filings and all of defendants' settlement conference statements. Then, Plaintiffs  
10 shall make any revised demand to the government by Monday, July 29, 2013 at 1 p.m. Plaintiffs  
11 should cc the court with the demands and any updated information by simultaneous email to the  
12 court's orders box at [lbpo@cand.uscourts.gov](mailto:lbpo@cand.uscourts.gov).

13 2. Defendants shall respond (and cc the court by email) by Monday, July 29, 2013.

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15 Dated: July 25, 2013

16   
\_\_\_\_\_  
17 LAUREL BEELER  
18 United States Magistrate Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28