

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEMERTRIA DELARGE,

Case No. 3:10-cv-01000 JSW

Plaintiff(s),

STIPULATION AND

v.

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

SELECTING ADR PROCESS

HAYWARD UNIFIED SCHOOL  
DISTRICT, et al.

Defendant(s).

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

## Court Processes:

- Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)  
 Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  
 Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

*(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)*

## Private Process:

- Private ADR (please identify process and provider)

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)  
 other requested deadline – 120 days from the date of the order

Dated: June 10, 2010

\_\_\_\_\_  
 FRANK DEBENEDETTO  
 Counsel for Plaintiff

Dated: June 10, 2010

\_\_\_\_\_  
 /s/ Raymond Bangle III  
 RAYMOND BANGLE III  
 Counsel for Defendants

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

- ~~Non-binding Arbitration~~
- ~~Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)~~
- Mediation
- ~~Private ADR~~

Deadline for ADR session

- ~~90 days from the date of this order.~~
- other – 120 days from date of this order

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 14, 2010

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE