

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TYRONE L HARRIS,

No C 10-1083 VRW

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v

CITY OF OAKLAND, WAYNE G TUCKER,
MARCO MARQUEZ, STEVEN MARTIN JR,

Defendants.

_____ /

On July 6, 2010, the court ordered plaintiff to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and warned plaintiff that failure to respond would result in dismissal. Doc #5. Plaintiff failed to respond to the order, and the deadline for doing so, August 2, 2010, has passed. Accordingly, the action is DISMISSED pursuant to FRCP 41(b). The clerk is directed to terminate all motions and close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



VAUGHN R WALKER
United States District Chief Judge