

1 CHARLES GEERHART (SBN 139769)
2 PAOLI & GEERHART, LLP
3 785 Market Street, Suite 1150
4 San Francisco, CA 94103
5 (415) 498-2101

6 Attorney for Plaintiffs
7 HANAN AREIQAT AND HADEEL AREIQAT

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

HANAN AREIQAT AND HADEEL
AREIQAT

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO; JOHN BURKE, Star # 2240,
individually and in his capacity as a San
Francisco police officer; VICTOR HUI, Star #
1370, individually and in his capacity as a San
Francisco police officer; DAVID ALBRIGHT,
star # 104, individually and in his capacity as a
San Francisco police officer; JOSEPH
VALDEZ, Star #4228, individually and in his
capacity as a San Francisco police officer;
PATRICK GRIFFIN, Star # 1835,
individually and in his capacity as a San
Francisco police officer; ELMER NAJARRO
star # 1078, individually and in his capacity as
a San Francisco police officer and DOES 1-
30, Defendants.

Case No. C10-1113 MHP

STIPULATION FOR ORDER DISMISSING
AND JOINING CERTAIN DEFENDANTS

Areiqat v. City/County of SF et al
USDC No. C10-1113 MHP
STIPULATION FOR ORDER DISMISSING
AND JOINING CERTAIN DEFENDANTS

1 Plaintiffs HANAN AREIQAT AND HADEEL AREIQAT and defendants CITY AND
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, JOHN BURKE, VICTOR HUI, DAVID ALBRIGHT,
3 JOSEPH VALDEZ, PATRICK GRIFFIN, and ELMER NAJARRO (hereafter, the PARTIES),
4 hereby stipulate to a Court Order as follows:

5 1. The Parties agree that Plaintiffs may dismiss David Albright, each side to bear its own fees and
6 costs;

7 2. The Parties agree that Plaintiffs may join as party defendants the following San Francisco Police
8 officers:

9 a) Josh Hinds in place and stead of DOE 1.

10 b) Kevin Rightmire in place and stead of DOE 2.

11 3. This stipulation is entered into because plaintiffs did not have actual knowledge of the names of
12 the officers listed in par. 2 above until undertaking discovery in this matter.

13 4. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the newly joined defendants are deemed to have notice and
14 service of the summons and complaint, that a new summons need not be issued.

15 5. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that the two newly joined defendants waive notice and service of
16 the complaint as amended and shall not be required to answer the amendment, and that all denials,
17 responses and affirmative defenses contained in the answer previously filed by the original
18 defendants to the original complaint shall be deemed responsive to the complaint as to the two newly
19 joined defendants.
20

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2 Dated: October 25, 2010

PAOLI & GEERHART LLP

3

4

I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph
signatures for any signatures indicated by a
"conformed" signature (/S/) within this efiled
document.

5

6

7

8

9

/s/ _____

10

CHARLES GEERHART

11

Attorney for Plaintiff

12

13 Dated: October 25, 2010

SAN FRANCISCO CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

14

15

16

17

/s/ _____

18

BLAKE LOEBS

19

Attorneys for Defendants

20

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO JOHN

21

BURKE, VICTOR HUI, DAVID ALBRIGHT,

22

JOSEPH VALDEZ, PATRICK GRIFFIN, and ELMER

23

NAJARRO

24

25

26 *Areiqat v. City/County of SF et al*
USDC No. C10-1113 MHP
27 STIPULATION FOR ORDER DISMISSING
AND JOINING CERTAIN DEFENDANTS

28

1 ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR ORDER DISMISSING AND JOINING CERTAIN
2 DEFENDANTS

3 The Court has reviewed the Stipulation of the parties for an Order Dismissing and Joining
4 Certain Defendants. Good cause appearing, the Court approves the stipulation and orders as follows:

- 5 1. Plaintiffs may dismiss David Albright, each side to bear its own fees and costs;
6 2. Plaintiffs may join as party defendants the following San Francisco Police officers:
7 a) Josh Hinds in place and stead of DOE 1.
8 b) Kevin Rightmire in place and stead of DOE 2.
9 3. The newly joined defendants listed in No. 2 above are deemed to have notice and service of the
10 summons and complaint. A new summons need not be issued. The two newly joined defendants
11 shall not be required to answer the amendment. All denials, responses and affirmative defenses
12 contained in the answer previously filed by the original defendants to the original complaint shall be
13 deemed responsive to the complaint as to the two newly joined defendants.

14
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16
17 Date: 11/4/2010



18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 *Areiqat v. City/County of SF et al*
27 USDC No. C10-1113 MHP
28 STIPULATION FOR ORDER DISMISSING
AND JOINING CERTAIN DEFENDANTS