Doc. 21

Skaff v. Ritz-Carlton Hotel Company, LLC et al

Plaintiff RICHARD SKAFF and defendants RITZ -CARLTON HOTEL COMPANY, LLC, SHC HALF MOON BAY, LLC, and DTRS HALF MOON BAY, LLC, by and through their counsel, enter into this stipulation regarding their mutual request for an enlargement of time to continue the meet and confer process they have commenced pursuant to the terms of General Order 56, Paragraph 4, and for an order enlarging the time for plaintiff to file a "Notice Of Need For Mediation," pursuant to General Order 56, Paragraph 6.

The parties have begun the meet and confer process with respect to the property at issue, the Ritz-Carlton Hotel at Half Moon Bay, California. Under the current guidelines set by General Order 56, the parties' meet and confer was to have been completed by August 20, 2010. The parties' meet and confer efforts to date have been cooperative, and they would like additional time to continue the process, with the goal of resolving most or all of the issues in dispute prior to any mediation allowed pursuant to the terms of General Order 56, Paragraph 6. Accordingly, IT IS SO STIPULATED THAT:

The parties stipulate to a request for an order enlarging the time to complete their meet and confer process through October 29, 2010. They also stipulate to the request for an order enlarging the time for plaintiff to comply with the provisions of General Order 56, Paragraph 6, which require the plaintiff to file a "Notice Of Need For Mediation", so that any such notice would have to be filed by November 8, 2010. These enlargements of time will not alter any event or deadline other than those specified in General Order 56.

The parties further stipulate that this Stipulation may be signed in counterparts and that signatures transmitted by facsimile or by e-mail shall be as valid and binding as original signatures.

Dated: August 25, 2010 SIDNEY J. COHEN PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

By: /s/ Sidney J. Cohen
Sidney J. Cohen
Attorney For Plaintiff, Richard Skaff

items listed on plaintiff's expert's list of alleged access barriers. To date, the parties' meeting and conference efforts have addressed all of the several hundred items listed on the plaintiff's expert's site inspection report, but the parties have not yet reached agreement or final positions on these items. While they have made progress, they will require additional time to discuss many of the items at issue.

- 4. Counsel and the parties have agreed to request an enlargement of time, to October 29, 2010, to complete their meet and confer process, in the hope of reaching mutual agreement regarding the accessibility issues alleged by the plaintiff, to avoid or limit the need for mediation.
- 5. Based on paragraphs 2 4 above, the parties need an enlargement of time through October 29, 2010 to complete the "meet and confer" session. They also request an enlargement of time until November 8, 2010 for plaintiff to file his Notice of Need For Mediation pursuant to General Order 56, Paragraph 6.
- 6. With the exception of extending the meet and confer and mediation notice deadlines, the extension does not effect court ordered deadlines.
- 7. A Stipulation to extend the time for Defendants to respond to the Complaint (Docket No. 4) and the parties' Stipulation for an Order For Enlargement Of Time To Complete The General Order 56 Joint Site Inspection And To Hold The General Order 56 "Meet And Confer" are the only previous modifications in the case by Stipulation or Court Order.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 25th day of August 2010 at San Francisco, California.

<u>ORDER</u>

Having considered the parties' Stipulation and the supporting Declaration, and for good cause shown, the Court enlarges the date by which the parties are to complete their meet and confer pursuant to the provisions of General Order 56, Paragraph 4 to October 29, 2010, and also enlarges

anne O'Niell

1	the date by which the plaintiff must file his Notice Of Need For Mediation pursuant to General
2	Order 56, Paragraph 6 to November 8, 2010.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED:
4	Dated:August 26, 2010
5	August 20, 2010
6	Charles P. Prayer
7	Charles R. Breyer United States IT IS SO ORDERED
8	
9	2996519v1 911380 Judge Charles R. Breyer
10	
11	THE PANDISTRICT OF CE
12	O151 RIC
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5