the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

34

5

1

2

.

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

1415

1617

18

20

19

22

21

23

24

25

26

2728

JAMES COLLINS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GAMESTOP CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

NO. C10-1210 TEH

ORDER GRANTING MOTION
FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT;
ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
COSTS: AND HIDGMENT

This matter came before the Court on September 17, 2012, on Plaintiffs' unopposed motions for final approval of the class action settlement agreement ("Agreement") filed with the Court on February 24, 2012, as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Mark Pifko, and for attorneys' fees and costs. The Agreement is incorporated herein by reference, including the adoption of defined terms. The Court has: (1) previously granted preliminary approval of the Agreement; (2) been informed by declaration that notice of the settlement has been given to the Class (as defined below); (3) held a final fairness hearing at which all Parties appeared by their counsel and at which the Court provided class members with an opportunity to object to the Agreement, but at which no class members appeared to object; (4) received and reviewed briefing and evidence as to why the proposed settlement is fair, adequate, and in the best interests of the represented class; and (5) considered all other arguments and submissions in connection with the proposed settlement.

With good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Agreement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation and over the Parties to this litigation, including all class members.

3.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Court certifies for settlement purposes a class of all California residents

- Ortiz as Class Representatives.
- 5. The Court appoints Baron & Budd P.C. and Initiative Legal Group APC as Class Counsel.
- 6. The distribution of the Class Notice to the Class as set forth in the Agreement has been completed in conformity with the April 9, 2012 Preliminary Approval Order. The Class Notice provided adequate notice of the proceedings and about the case, including the proposed settlement terms as set forth in the Agreement. The Class Notice fully satisfied due process requirements. As executed, the Class Notice was the best notice practicable under the circumstances.
- 7. The Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Agreement and finds that the Agreement is fair, adequate, and reasonable and directs the Parties to effectuate the Agreement according to its terms. The Court finds that the Agreement has been reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations.
- 8. The Court also finds that settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case.
- 9. The Agreement is not an admission by Defendants or by any other released party, nor is this order a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing by Defendants or any other released party. Neither this order, the Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Agreement, may be construed as, or

may be used as, an admission of any fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, or liability whatsoever by or against Defendants or any of the other released parties.

- 10. The Court awards Plaintiffs James Collins, Matthew Proctor, and Danoby Ortiz \$2000 each for their services to the Class. The Court finds that these amounts are fair and reasonable in light of Plaintiffs' contributions to the litigation.
- 11. The Court grants Plaintiffs' unopposed motion for \$250,000 in attorneys' fees and costs as within the range of reasonable fees and costs.
- All Class Members were given a full and fair opportunity to participate in the Approval Hearing, and all members of the Settlement Class wishing to be heard have been heard. Members of the Settlement Class also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the proposed settlement and the class. Accordingly, the terms of the Agreement and this order shall bind members of the Class who did not timely exclude themselves. To effectuate the Settlement, the Court hereby orders that all Class Members who did not timely exclude themselves from the Settlement are barred, enjoined, and restrained from commencing, prosecuting, or asserting any released claim against any released party.
- 13. The Court retains jurisdiction over the Parties to enforce the terms of this order and judgment, and shall have continuing jurisdiction over the construction, interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of the Agreement in accordance with its terms.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 09/17/12

THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

flanens